

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 06-221

---

Complainant: No. 1293610664A

Judge: No. 1293610664B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The judge considered a run for Congress but withdrew his candidacy and did not stand for election. The remaining allegations raised in the complaint involved legal issues outside the jurisdiction of the commission.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 15, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 15, 2006.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CJC-06-221

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: [redacted] Judge's name: [redacted] Date: 8/15/06

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct has the scope of Authority  
A) Conduct for U.S. Congress - [redacted]  
[redacted] violated the Code of Judicial Conduct  
# 1, "3", "5",

B) as well as bringing the judiciary into dispute.

H/V [redacted] tribal prosecutor [redacted] brought  
a charge of harassment, use of telephone to commit offense.  
This was explained as a "two-part offense" by associate judge  
[redacted] (which I recused for judge [redacted] threatened me  
before court as well as walked outside of the court building  
to further threaten me - he recused himself); After my  
arraignment (Not guilty) plea

[redacted] Judge Pro-Tem [redacted]  
resides. He has set in judgement on my case until present.  
[redacted] 2 pm - hearing on illegal "incarceration fees"  
He has twisted and abused the law Victim upon victim of my US.  
Constitutional Rights, Due process, illegal incarcerated for 7 days with  
out just cause, Just to list a few.

Here is where it gets to serious violation of law, Federal Law  
and State law.

[redacted] not certified in "Indian Law",  
by any judicial authority. Lacks his limited if any, authority of a  
judge, granted by the Supreme Court Arizona. And over steps  
his "jurisdiction" into Federal (Indian Land) Reservations. Federal Jurisdiction  
means just that. He admitted to the fact that the tribal courts were  
tribal courts. (PS)

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

Jurisdiction Violations

August 13, 2006

CJC-06-221

(P. 2)

- At the time of this case from arrangement until [redacted] resided in [redacted]  
[redacted]
- The Tribal Court jurisdiction is on, with in the borders of Indian Land, territory, which is Federal (Indian) Reservation.
- Federal Rules only apply.
- Federal jurisdiction is exclusive concerning Indian vs Indian, (L.P. 7) (see: Tribal Law and Order Code, United States Code - title - 25 Code of Federal Regulation title - 25)
- Judge pro-tem [redacted] is/was not bar certified to "Indian Law".
- Did not notify any "authority" either Federal or Arizona State Justice Departments of his employment as a judge pro-tem to a federal position from a [redacted] Justice Court.
- Did not notify either Federal or State agencies of his Candidacy for [redacted]  
[redacted] being an active candidate, simultaneously employed as a judge on federal land, in a federal (Indian) Court.

Jurisdiction Violation (Continued) August 15, 2004

CJC-06-221

(pg 3)

- Tribal Courts jurisdiction, extending only to within its borders, no further.

Signed, court summons, court orders\* for me to appear. If judge pro-tem

[redacted] knowledgeable of Indian Law and certified by the bar this complaint would not be written.

### State of Arizona - Jurisdiction Violations.

- Since the State of Arizona, Supreme Court of Arizona knows the jurisdictional laws and not to impose upon federal reservation lands being either National Forests "Wildlife (Wetlands) habitats, protected lands reservations. The Department of Justice, Office of Tribal Justice have the exclusive right for Indian matters especially Indian legal matters, concerning jurisdiction.

- When Judge, pro-tem, [redacted] "infringed upon Indian land", he only possessed "limited authority granted him by the Supreme Court of Arizona", thereby, unlawfully involving the State of Arizona to subordinate the federal

CJC-06-221 August 15, 2006

authority, the United States Congress, Department  
of Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs.

(15 4)

Department of Justice, Office of Tribal Justice  
Law and Order Codes of [redacted]

[redacted] Tribe, United States Code - title 25  
Code of Federal Regulation - title 25

- Effectively putting the Supreme Court of Arizona  
into a somewhat position and opening a path  
for a lawsuit, against the State of Arizona.

- Or, is there another theory, that of Judge  
Proctor, Candidate for [redacted]

when accepting employment as judge for case

[redacted] an Indian vs Indian, or Indian  
(Federal) land in an Indian Court. Did his

not notify the proper Federal and State agencies  
Dept. Leave his authority on the State

(of Arizona) "side" of the boundary of the tribe.

Therefore making him just a man or citizen  
"impersonating" a federal judge.

- Or, is this a very subtle move on the State of  
Arizona to weaken the legal system of Indian Tribes  
within the State of Arizona, to wrest control  
of "Indian Gaming"?

(p. 5)

- If for arguments sake lets say the third paragraph on the previous page, is what happened. Then quite obviously the case of [redacted] would be immediately be vacated and all records of files and other illegal orders concerning monetary issues would be accessed immediately and returned to the defendant immediately. Perhaps that the Commission might find who knows.

[redacted] judge state of Arizona  
has made a mockery of the U.S. Constitution  
Bill of Rights.

- Has court order that effectively censored me from my govt., leaving me no avenue for petition of redress of grievances.

- Undermined the tribal Courts of [redacted]  
[redacted]

- Jury Pool was contested, by defense attorney [redacted] as it was "tainted."

- Allow for "prima facie" to be granted as prosecution

- Demand my "voir dire", of only tribal members, veterans, no person employed by the tribe or any of its enterprises, Allowed the Chamber of Commerce [redacted] to be in the jury pool