

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-237

Complainant: No. 1294410052A

Judge: No. 1294410052B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature. If a judge makes an incorrect ruling or misinterprets the evidence, the correct remedy is to appeal to a court with appropriate jurisdiction.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 18, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 18, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS **CJC-06-237**

Instructions: Please use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. In your own words, describe specifically what the judge did that you believe is misconduct. You should provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint, but you do not need to cite the applicable canons of judicial conduct. Although you may attach additional pages, do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you feel will help us understand your complaint.

Your name: [redacted] Judge's name: [redacted] Date: 2-26-04

In a jury trial held in front of Judge [redacted] on [redacted] [redacted] the trial court was prejudicial, and Judge [redacted] in retaliation against this defendant, because a case against one of my family members, where the County Attorney's case fell apart of procedure errors, and throw out Judge [redacted] in this trial denied a fair trial under the Arizona Rules of Evidence, ~~801~~, 802(c) by allowing hear say testimony from a ~~un~~unqualified person on the result of blood test, denied evidence into admission, for my defense, neglected to change the verdict forms, fingerprint experts to prove defendants alleged prior convictions and the Courts ruling to prevented from being argued, denied the defense of testimony officer [redacted], and [redacted] facts to corroborated the defense theory. This fundamental error in both this presentation of evidence and the ability to make a complete argument to the State's evidence, and the credibility of the witness denied a fair trial and the jury instruction where incorrect on the issue of those alleged priors, was a violation under Arizona Rules of the Supreme Court Canon (3), and a injustice to this legal procedure, and due to the fact of this misconduct, because of medical problems and the access to the Courts this Judge denied this defendant to file rule 32 because it was filed 55 days late. This Judge is trying to keep this misconduct concealed,

(Attach additional sheets as needed)