

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-247

Complainant: No. 1268600026A

Judge: No. 1268600026B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised are solely legal or appellate in nature. If a judge makes an incorrect ruling or misinterprets the evidence, the correct remedy is to appeal to a court with appropriate jurisdiction.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 18, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 18, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-06-247

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name

Judge's name:

Date:

9/19/06

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

To the Judicial Commission

This is a formal complaint against Judge [redacted] of the [redacted] Superior Court. I just had a trial case [redacted] in which Judge [redacted] did not review a videotape that my attorney and I supply to the court. Instead [redacted] made a comment on tape of record, telling my attorney [redacted] that the video I requested to be played in open court. [redacted] Quoted that I am not an attorney and he would review this tape privately in his chamber not in open court. I find this act odd because I feel [redacted] never saw this tape while in his chamber. I ask for the Judicial Commission to do an in-camera-inspection on Judge [redacted] Also [redacted] only allowed myself and [redacted] a 1 day defense on Ariz behalf while the state was allowed 4 days plus extra time on the rebuttal argument and closing statement in this case. We only marshals up a testimonial all in one day, from cross exam to witness testifying. Judge [redacted] Court behavior was rushing Ariz witness throughout the court trial. This resulted in a horrible severance ruling from [redacted] He made a written statement about a bogus conviction in California (Please check [redacted] Judgement Statement on Paper). I never had any criminal record in California, where is [redacted] and the state courts getting this false information from? I feel after your investigation Judge [redacted] need to be removed immediately from his duty.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

CJC-06-247

[redacted] base His Ruling on Falsely charges and erroneous information and testimonial From the State. [redacted] kept disrupting MY wife during Questioning by MY Attorney (Check transcript record, [redacted] never Show Judicial Conduct or Respect toward our case, yet He abuse his Power by not Review evidence like the videotape that Child Help did when they question MY Little Daughter. Because of [redacted] So-called-investigation and not reviewing the Fact of this case, [redacted] Judgment to Severed MY right as a Parent Has cause Great concern and worries For MY daughter [redacted] This is the 2nd Judicial complaint against a Judge in the [redacted] Courts, I Filed one against Judge [redacted] and She rescues HerSELF From the case, I Also believed Her and Judge [redacted] talk about this case, which should of been Confidential.

In conclusion

Judge [redacted] Actions and Comments Show a Preconceived Notion of impartial Hearing on our behalf. The Facts state A Judge shall avoid impropriety in his Ruling and His Activities. He never Look At the videotape in open court or privately in his chamber. A Judge shall so conduct extra-judicial Activities to investigate case history and status. Judge [redacted] never review His case load in this

CJC-06-247

Proceeding, Judge [redacted] Claims I was convicted
in a California State Law Court. [redacted] made
a poor inspection on this case. Like I said
before no one or myself was ever convicted
in a California Court room ever. [redacted] never
uphold the Judiciary integrity As a Judge. MY
wife and I of course are appealing this
decision immediately. Please notified us about your
investigation Thank-you

[redacted]