

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-287

Complainant:	No. 1281100294A
Judge:	No. 1281100294B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. Whether a judge can reopen a case on his own motion for the limited purpose of allowing the state to establish jurisdiction is solely a legal question. The correct remedy is to appeal to a court with appropriate jurisdiction.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: December 27, 2006.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 27, 2006.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Complaint Against A Judge

CJC-06-287

NOV 17 2006

1. Name:
2. Mailing address:
City:
3. Daytime telephone:
4. Judge's Name:
Location:
5. Court: municipal Court; justice court; superior Court; Court of Appeals; or Supreme court.
6. Alleged misconduct (check all that apply):
 - Willful misconduct in office.
 - Willful and persistent failure to perform duties.
 - Habitual intemperance (e.g., alcohol or drug abuse).
 - A permanent disability that seriously interferes with the performance of judicial duties.
 - A violation of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct.
 - Other conduct that brings the judiciary into disrepute.
7. Did you have a case before this judge? yes no. If so, is the case still pending? Yes no.
 - a. case number:
 - b. Case name:
 - c. List the names of any attorneys who appeared in the case:
 - d. List the names, addresses and telephone numbers of any witnesses who observed the judge's conduct: All court staff and movement sheriff, names unknown.

I affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the allegations contained in the attached statement of facts are true.

[Redacted Signature]

Signature

CJC-06-287

11/10/2006

Date

Statement of Facts

Your name: [Redacted] Judge Name: [Redacted]

[Redacted] Date: November 8th 2006 Thursday

That on [Redacted] Judge [Redacted]

[Redacted] and state of Arizona Deputy prosecutor

[Redacted] did conspire to [Redacted]

in case no [Redacted] That I, [Redacted] do

allege this conspiracy.

Trial started [Redacted] Monday was jury

assignment, and opening arguments started [Redacted]

[Redacted] That in the two day of state Arguments

[Redacted] failed to show jurisdiction on behalf

of the state prior to resting the case for the State

of Arizona.

That on [Redacted] [Redacted] rested

his case for the State of Arizona. That Refence council

then moved for a Rule 20, Acquittal of charges do

to failure to show jurisdiction.

That Judge [Redacted] did find that it was

a true fact, that the state failed to show jurisdiction.

[Redacted] did not argue validation only said he

never heard of such. Nor did [Redacted] move to

reopen for the state.

CJC-06-287

That for no reason given or just cause shown Superior Court Judge [redacted] then showed prejudice to the Defendant by reopening the State arguement just to find jurisdiction, Thus conspiring with the State of Arizona to convict myself, and showing extreme partciality to the State by denial of equal protection of the law in denial of a founded Rule 20 Arizona Revised Statue of jurisdiction, when the law at the time is clearly stated and founded that an acquittal of all charges should then have been ordered.

Jury diliberations began on [redacted]
My rights and equal protection of the law have been violated by the State of Arizona Superior Court Judge [redacted] whom has sworn to uphold these laws, and remain impartial.

I request removal of [redacted] from the bench for conspiracy and knowingly "Railroading" an innozent person.