

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 06-313

Complainant: No. 1291210541A

Judge: No. 1291210541B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judges.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: March 23, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on March 23, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.




CJC-06-313

December 20, 2006



Staff Attorney
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 Washington-Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ. 85007



Thank you for your previous responses, it's clear you have a substantial work-load. I was not surprised by the ruling of The Commission. I have stated before that the Commissions structure and attitude beg for abuse. I have included my most recent example of abuse. I believe this latest crime would have been avoided if the Commission took its task seriously, and honorably.

Commission member [redacted] requested a new Judge back in 2002, despite my case having had at least one ruling made by then Judge [redacted] "A new Judge was awarded," as a matter of right." The abuse begins as Judge [redacted] replaces Judge [redacted] My complaint involves both Judge [redacted] and his successor, Judge [redacted] Judge [redacted] the Presiding Judge of [redacted] County directed both [redacted] to my case. I remind you; Judge [redacted] retained my case even though he was rotated over to the criminal court in [redacted] until he issued a divorce decree. I never signed, nor agreed with this decree. In fact the decree is on [redacted] letterhead. [redacted] decided how to divide my nearly [redacted] estate.

I have been ordered to spend over [redacted] in professional fees; my company has been taken from me and given to my ex-wife, a decision made without a hearing. In the two years that [redacted] has had control, not one fee has been challenged. [redacted] mental, and emotional issues were never allowed to be examined. [redacted] was never deposed or even asked one probing question. Never! The Special Masters report was never meant to be binding on either party, (see attached). [redacted] knew this yet he continually asked, and was granted that I be found in contempt of court for not shelling out the money he demanded. To this date this Special Master, hand picked by [redacted] has never provided a detailed billing statement. In fact the, anything but Special Master, testified he could not provide a statement. For that nonsensical statement Judge [redacted] rewarded him with an additional [redacted] of my family's money. [redacted] for a 5th rate report that I should have been able to dismiss as it was never meant to be binding. These abuses were deliberately orchestrated by [redacted] a member of the

commission, and sanctioned by Judges [redacted] I have officially described the behavior of these men as conspiratorial, and corrupt.

Since the Commissions recent unconscionable decision regarding my first complaint, the present court has again deemed it necessary our [redacted] per year income be controlled by a retired Judge from [redacted] Yes [redacted] Judge [redacted] [redacted] Nepotism, collusion, corruption, alliances, and robbery, not justice! Is this the Arizona way? It is the [redacted] County way! The offenders are emboldened by a total lack of oversight. This abuse will not continue indefinitely, someone, or some agency with integrity will turn this rock over and expose the poison that festers under; out of sight.



P.S.
I will continue to stand for the truth, and I posses tremendous stamina.

cc.
Janet Napolitano
Governor of Arizona

John McCain
U.S. Senator

Terry Goddard
Arizona Attorney General