

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-003

Complainant: No. 1300100221A

Judge: No. 1300100221B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised concerned the judge's findings during a hearing on an injunction. Since the commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 7, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 7, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

On Thursday [redacted] @ 9:30 I attended a hearing where [redacted] had requested a harassment order against [redacted]. It seemed almost obvious that [redacted] should have squashed this order based on the simple fact that there has been no harassment simply because there has been nothing between [redacted] and the [redacted] family that could be considered harassment. [redacted] had shown up for this hearing simply because he received the info in some way..a call from the courts or a piece of mail only stating the hearing date and time... [redacted] had never seen the document that said why they were filing this order. His first look was this hearing and [redacted] gave [redacted] and his attorney just enough time to read it one time and moved forward from there. [redacted] [redacted] said he would not reschedule since he had already heard from the [redacted] family previously. Basically the [redacted] family wants the [redacted] staff of [redacted] [redacted] out so there daughter can make [redacted]. I know this because I was a acquaintance of the [redacted] family in that my daughter also was on this [redacted] [redacted] exaggerated a story that the other girls involved did not agree with, nor did the parents but the [redacted] didn't hire back [redacted] because they have been sued previously by the [redacted] family because of an accident that happened to their other daughter during [redacted]. The [redacted] family thought that if [redacted] was gone his wife, [redacted] would quit. When she didn't quit, the [redacted] family bullied, lied, went to the news and all the newspapers to basically trash talk about [redacted] publicly in hopes [redacted] would leave. She did not and this went all the way to the [redacted]. Basically, the [redacted] wrote the [redacted] family a letter stating NO ONE from [redacted] will discuss or listen to any further complaints.

[redacted] told the courts that [redacted] had sexually harassed [redacted] and was fired by [redacted] which is not true. [redacted] told the court that [redacted] banned [redacted] which is not true. She did not have anything but her word. [redacted] had her daughter tell the court that [redacted] sits in [redacted] and that is intimidating to her, and she doesn't want him there. That is not harassment. And that is what [redacted] based his decision on. He should have told her that is her issue and not a form of harassment. [redacted] plays before the [redacted] and [redacted] is at the [redacted] to support his wife and to take care of their [redacted] baby that is still breast feeding while [redacted]. There has never been one exchange between [redacted] or the [redacted] family. [redacted] didn't hear any form of harassment. Your courts say that these orders are basically orders of protection, and the [redacted] family should have had specific dates, times and places for events which they feel constitute acts of domestic **violence or harassment**. This is a sworn statement. If there is no harassment the order must be squashed. Just because [redacted] has to live with her earlier decisions to go after the [redacted] doesn't mean she can just ask that these people never come into her view! My daughter is being provoked and bullied by this family, they have all along gone after my daughter and we as a group, [redacted] thought it best to ignore their actions and leave it alone because of the cruel ways they have attacked everyone publicly. At this hearing I thought for sure the [redacted] family would mention my daughter or our family because we have stood by the [redacted] along with the entire [redacted]. I thought maybe it is time I get an order of harassment against them so I came to hear what

they had to say. When I saw how one sided this was and what I consider a terrible mistake on [redacted] part, I would NEVER come to this court for help. He did not do his job and basically gave this family fuel for their fire. I would guess this will end up in some way they will continue to lie and manipulate for their selfish reasons. Our [redacted] who has given nothing but 100% to [redacted] will probably quit [redacted] because it has been overwhelming. The [redacted] family said they new people in the court system and I can only wonder if this is true. If you have any jurisdiction over [redacted] I would review all information and reverse his decision before this family ruins more lives.

I'm sorry this is so much info, but this has been going on for almost a year!

[redacted]

The attached article initiated by the [redacted] is insight to this issue & should have been reviewed by [redacted], but he said, I dont care what [redacted] said -