

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 07-027

---

Complainant: No. 1032010684A

Judge: No. 1032010684B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The judge ruled promptly on all properly filed motions and took proper steps to assist the complainant in getting medical attention.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: March 23, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 23, 2007.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-07-027

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

ge's name:

Date: 1/25/07

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Ethical violations involving failure to act upon complainant's requests for relief from operations and conditions injurious to his physical and mental health while incarcerated in the [redacted] County Detention Center from [redacted] [redacted]

Judge [redacted] was duly informed that the jail was being operated illegally in such a way as to subject inmates to violations of 8<sup>th</sup> amendment rights. Yet he neglected to take action to give relief to the complainant or to stop the illegal operations.

As a duly sworn, elected official and high officer of the court, the judge is under legal, moral and constitutional obligations that require he take action to protect the health and safety of those in the custody of his court. Yet, though he was given ample time and opportunity, he failed to fulfill his duty - to uphold the law and protect citizen rights.

Furthermore, Judge [redacted] has exhibited a gross disregard for well-established laws (see #I attachment); defendant disabilities and standard courtroom protocol (see #III); indifference to (pro se) motions and the deadlines the bench has to respond to them; all of the foregoing constitutes evidence that Judge [redacted] has a poor grasp of the ethical/constitutional side of his position.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)