

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 07-034

---

Complainant: No. 1145700177A

Judge: No. 1145700177B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The appropriate remedy would have been to appeal the judge's decision to a court with proper jurisdiction.

The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 20, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 20, 2007.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

To: Commission of Judicial conduct

From: [REDACTED]

RE: [REDACTED]

FEB 01 2007

CJC-07-034

Complaint, Filed against Judge [REDACTED]

For denying court access and violating complainants 6<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> amendment rights by refusing to timely mail court orders and Judgements denying due process for court action.

Dear Commission:

On [REDACTED] Judge [REDACTED] issued a judgement on a civil case named above. I am a prisoner in the custody of A.D.O.C. and must trust the courts to ensure Fair play.

To this date the court has never mailed me a copy of this [REDACTED] "judgement". I did not receive a copy of the "judgement" until [REDACTED] from the Attorney General asst. attached to her objection.

[REDACTED] This is a civil suit about denial of court access by A.D.O.C. staff as well as other claims.

I will make this clear: I am not contesting or complaining about the substance of the [REDACTED] "judgement". I am filing this complaint because the above named judge never mailed me a copy so that I could file an amended complaint or appeal to a higher court.

Page 1

this denied me due process and violated my 6<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> amendment rights to court access.

I now believe the holding of this order for over 1 year from me was an intentional act by Judge [redacted] [redacted] who was clearly assigned this case, after Judge [redacted] "Recused" (just before my evidentiary hearing) to deny me court access and become an agent for the state.

On [redacted] an order was filed dated [redacted] without allowing me time to reply which I did via prison legal mail on [redacted] Court rule 12 (a)(1)(2) allows me 20 days to reply but the court issued its order before my reply was even in the mail. The motion for enlargement of time was filed by me via prison legal mail on [redacted] by the clerk of courts on [redacted] and answered 3 days later after the state was allowed to respond but I was not allowed to reply.

The holding of the original [redacted] judgement for over 1 year is unthinkable and could not happen if I was not in prison suing the D.O.C. for denial of court access.

CJC-07-034

But this judge who is supposed to be fair and unbiased is holding his court orders and judgements and now making up his own "rules of court" to further deny my right to due process as guaranteed by our 6th and 14th U.S. Constitutional amendments.

Judge [redacted] has withheld orders and judgements from me and abused the rules of court in Arizona to issue orders and not look at the facts, or his own abuse before doing so.

I hope your commission will look into this very serious issue and take appropriate action to correct this judicial abuse of authority.

Court clerk records will verify the [redacted] order has never been mailed to me. Thank you

COPY: My File

Page 3