

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-066

Complainant: No. 1305110330A

Judge: No. 1305110330B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of misconduct on the part of the judges.

Because the contents of the complaint do not support the claims, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 25, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judges on April 25, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC-07-066

3/6/07

MAR 08 2007

To Whom it May Concern.

* This is a formal Complaint *

I have a case that is
Suppose to be heard in
Superior Court [redacted]

on [redacted] at 10am.

[redacted] *

I'm appealing the judges
decision from [redacted] I

have a complaint against
that judge, which is judge

[redacted]. The judges never
listen to the tenants, The
judges assume The landlord's
are always right. [redacted]

[redacted] is my former landlord
& she has rented Courdeun
properties, The City of [redacted]

[redacted]

CJC-07-066

has cited [redacted] several
times, on property [redacted]
[redacted] that I rented from
her. I paid rent according
to the lease agreement
for Aug, Sept + October.
Why is the case taking so
long to be heard in Superior
Court? Why are the judges
allowing [redacted] & her attorney
to tie together 2 separate
cases? That's not right or
fair. [redacted] & her attorney
filed a motion for a hearing
on [redacted], for stuff that
has nothing to do with
[redacted]. They keep
adding stuff that's not
relevant or factual +
these [redacted]

CJC-07-066

has allowed it without giving me time to respond. I'm the appellant. I don't feel comfortable with Terry Judge [redacted] Secretary or Judge [redacted] hearing the case. None of the judges are looking at the big picture, or taking the time to read the evidence. They rush rush through each case. Again I don't see how [redacted] + her attorney [redacted] can file a motion + has about a case that was heard in [redacted]. [redacted] just hired her attorney on [redacted]

The summons + complaint

CJC-07-066

Served on [redacted] was not
properly filled out. Line
5 should say why the landed
wants me arrested that was
never filled out. The judge
should have thrown it the case
out right then & there.
The summons + Complaint form
was not valid. I went to
legal aid they told me to
appeal. I did. But line continued
as to why the judges, Judge
[redacted] + judge [redacted]

are allowing 2 cases to
combine when only 1 is in
Superior Court. You judges
arent very clear with their
judgements. I have evidence
& proof but yet they didnt even
look or read it.

CJC-07-066

I am truly concern about this.
I'm feeling like I will not
have a ~~fair~~ shot because
of the ^{fair} judges.

please review my case
[redacted] (Superior case) ~~of~~
Court

Just because [redacted] is
elderly - doesn't mean this type.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

[redacted]