

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-095

Complainant: No. 1307510692A

Judge: No. 1307510692B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issue raised is legal in nature, and the more appropriate remedy would be to either file a motion with the judge or to appeal the judgment to a court with proper jurisdiction.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: April 25, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 25, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

[Redacted]

March 30, 2007

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

CJC-07-095

Re: [Redacted]

Gentlemen:

A summary of my complaint is as follows:

1. On [Redacted] I received a traffic ticket on [Redacted] in the vicinity of [Redacted]
2. I had initially planned to dispute the ticket since there was no posted 55 mph speed limit on that particular stretch of [Redacted] that I had traveled (the last posted limit was 65 mph). However, since my primary residence is [Redacted] and that I would not be in the [Redacted] area on the stated court date of [Redacted] I sought a continuance for a date between [Redacted] when I would be visiting my Arizona vacation home.
3. When I attempted to reschedule during early [Redacted] Judge [Redacted] court clerk was uncooperative and refused to accommodate my scheduling requirements. So, I was forced to subsequently plead no contest to the ticket and paid a [Redacted] fine via mail.
4. Had I been allowed the courtesy of a court date within my requested timeframe, I had photographic evidence to challenge the ticket. Although this is not the primary focus of my complaint with this Court, it does provide insight to the Court's lack of proper decorum, and as an extension, Judge [Redacted] judicial behavior.
5. During [Redacted] I received correspondence from the Judge [Redacted] court that my fine was [Redacted] rather than the [Redacted] amount and that an additional [Redacted] must be paid to the court [Redacted] for the fine and a [Redacted] late fee). After learning that I had misread the fine schedule, I subsequently paid the additional [Redacted] amount.
6. Despite having paid the required amount, I have continued to receive correspondence from Judge [Redacted] court clerk demanding additional sums of money [Redacted] The latest letters were dated [Redacted] These letters have included the following threats:
 - a. Suspension of my driver's license (although I do not possess an Arizona license)
 - b. A warrant for my arrest
 - c. Reporting of negative information to credit bureaus
7. I have refused to pay the attempted extortion perpetrated by Judge [Redacted] clerk and have provided documentation to the Court challenging their demands.
8. Judge [Redacted] has clearly failed to properly supervise the activities of his subordinates. Accordingly, his neglect has allowed these individuals to abuse their position within the court.

[Redacted]