

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-098

Complainant: No. 1307800456A

Judge: No. 1307800456B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: July 11, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 11, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-07-098

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date:

4/6/07

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

PROVISIONS ALLOW FOR RECOVERY OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE ISSUING OF A WRIT OF GARNISHMENT ON THE APPLICATION AND ORDER OF CONTINUING LIEN (SEE ENCLOSED APPLICATION). THE JUDGE DID NOT AWARD ME MY ACTUAL COSTS FOR THE COST AND COST OF SERVICE OF ISSUANCE OF THE WRIT. ACCORDING TO [REDACTED] JUSTICE COURTS SMALL CLAIMS CLERK, [REDACTED] THE JUDGE DENIES SUCH CLAIMS AS COMMON PRACTICE. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THE GARNISHEE ALSO REQUESTED [REDACTED] FOR COSTS IN ANSWERING THE WRIT OF GARNISHMENT. I UNDERSTAND YOU DO NOT INVESTIGATE JUDGE'S DECISIONS, HOWEVER IF THE JUDGE DENIES SUCH RELIEF AS COMMON PRACTICE EVEN THOUGH THE LAW PROVISIONS FOR RECOVERY OF SUCH RELIEF, I BELIEVE SHE IS FAILING TO FULFILL HER DUTIES AS A JUDGE. IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THE JUDGE NEGLECTS TO REVIEW THE CASES PRIOR TO SIGNING THE ORDERS AND SIMPLY SIGNS THEM WITHOUT GRANTING THE REQUESTED RELIEF THIS IS AN INJUSTICE TO THE CASES SHE IS PAID TO REVIEW. ULTIMATELY THIS RESULTS IN FRUSTRATION TO THOSE THAT ARE DENIED ~~RIGHT~~ WRONGFULLY DENIED RELIEF AND REQUIRES ADDITIONAL PAPERWORK BE FILED TO HAVE THE ORDER AMENDED, INCREASES COURT ADMINISTRATIVE RESOURCES AND COSTS.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)