

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 07-104

---

Complainant: No. 1290610641A

Judge: No. 1290610641B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter as well as the digital recording of the hearing and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: June 27, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 27, 2007.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

4/12/07

CJC-07-104

The personal attacks by Judge [redacted] of Superior Court on [redacted] demand the attention of Lawmakers and Authorities who oversee the Courts. I am requesting an investigation of Judge [redacted] conduct .

I am requesting an investigation of the Gender Bias of Judge [redacted] of Superior Court in [redacted]. The request is to follow up my complaint and request for an investigation of my trial and denial of an appeal.

I filed a gender bias complaint against Judge [redacted] with the Commission on Judicial Conduct in [redacted] Case # [redacted]. I was informed that this was a case for the Appellate Court. However the actions of Judge [redacted] and [redacted] of [redacted] Law Firm denied me that avenue for redress.

On [redacted] in a court hearing Judge [redacted] once again decided to evidence her gender bias by stating that "I was acting like a little boy". First she asked me how old I was, and when I replied that I was [redacted] she stated "I was acting like a little boy". I was "not acting like a child", that would have been belittling enough, "I was acting like a little boy". Her attitude towards men undergoing divorce proceedings is so lacking in judicial temperament as to be alarming.

I enclose two excerpts from a motion I submitted to Judge [redacted] on [redacted]. The first concerns her gender bias comment that I was acting like a little boy, when I objected to opposing council being present without proper legal notice. The second comment regards Judge [redacted] statement, "Didn't your parents teach you any manners" when I objected to the opposing attorney being present without legal notice to my attorney or myself. This belittling attitude of a [redacted] year old man is truly discriminatory.

1. Judge [redacted] stated in Court on the [redacted] that I was "acting like a little boy" when I objected to [redacted] appearing regarding the QDRO without timely notice to respondents QDRO attorney [redacted]. I received notice after the hearing via US mail on the [redacted] at 12 o'clock after returning from the Court.

I was merely trying to protect my rights under the law. I think this contempt citation should not have been invoked and [redacted] should have been told to stand down until he properly notified Respondent and respondents QDRO attorney [redacted]. Referring to [redacted] comments regarding my upbringing I can only report that the emphasis in my family was on Truth, Trust, Honor, AND CHARACTER, which are seriously lacking in the actions of petitioner and her attorney in the quest for monetary gain. Manners are a social position which vary from culture to culture (and apparently the Court has its own), but Truth, Trust, Honor and Character are universal and trump manners which are often situational. In this case I seriously believe under the rule of law [redacted] was out of order. There was absolutely no way to ascertain what [redacted] was going to say regarding the QDRO. He had not presented opposing QDRO council or respondent with his motion until after the hearing. I enclose a copy of [redacted] objection and request reconsideration on the contempt citation.

2. Manners as practiced in my family would dictate that the Court should show respect, not belittle a [redacted] man with a Doctors degree who is defending his rights in open Court and who has spent his life in service to this country by serving in the Korean War and teaching in the colleges and universities of this nation for over forty years.

The amazing thing about these comments and decisions by Judge [redacted] is that they are so loaded with gender bias and she is a sitting Judge in divorce court. There is a CD of this hearing which affirms these observations.

There are other negative findings by Judge [redacted] in this case which are clearly contradictory of documentary evidence which is being ignored by Judge [redacted] to further her apparent Sexist agenda. Based on these observations and the documentary evidence which supports my claims, I am not only being denied my 14th Amendment rights, but I am being sexually harrassed from the bench by a sitting Judge.

If the legal system denies you an appeal and the Bar Association fails to act, perhaps the idea is that at [redacted] perhaps I will expire and no one will have to do anything. That seems to be the case but I continue to be optimistic that my representatives in Government will act at least to initiate an investigation to confirm what is obviously a serious miscarriage of justice which brings discredit to the system and raises serious questions about our fundamental rights in this country.

Sexual harassment and personal attacks against ones family from the bench need to be addressed along with the lack of procedures standards which denied me an appeal and an opportunity to a fair trial

I asked Judge [redacted] to recuse herself from my case at this hearing when she attacked me, but she refused!

Unfortunately lawmakers treat the divorce industry, and it is an industry, which affects 50% of the population like "Chinatown". The excesses and abuses in this case involving both attorneys and the conduct of the judge demand the attention of society and lawmakers.

