

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-160

Complainant: No. 1312610698A

Judge: No. 1312610698B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter, as well as the recording of the proceeding, and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: August 29, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 29, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-07-160

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: Judge's name: Date: 4/30/07

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

On I went to appeal an injunction prohibiting harassment that was wrongly served on me from a previous romantic partner due to a broken relationship. The person who served me with the injunction is a current Justice is a retired and I feel that this case was biased.

The injunction prohibiting harassment contains a variety of false and misleading statements. When I went to explain the reason why I wanted this injunction quashed, the Justice refused to look at the facts that I had with me (dated e-mails, handwritten letters from the plaintiff and phone records). On the order, it states that the plaintiff and I were not in a dating relationship when, in fact, we were and he (plaintiff) admitted that to the Justice. The Justice said, "Whatever happened in the past is the past. Injunctions look toward the future and this injunction will not be modified or quashed."

The Justice should have recused himself from the case since he knows the plaintiff and was also a former I made it very clear to the Justice that I am trying to get into law enforcement and he told me that although this is a civil matter, I still need to advise the agency where I am applying that there is an injunction against me. When I advised my background investigator, she told me that my application will be placed on hold until the injunction is lifted. To my understanding, this will be a full year and the plaintiff can extend if he wants--even without just cause. I advised both the Justice and the plaintiff that I felt this was a malicious attempt to prevent me from obtaining employment and both parties disagreed with me. Please look into this matter; it does not appear to me to be fair, nor does it comply with what I understand about judicial ethics. On I had written a letter to the presiding judge (Hon. about this and on they advised me to contact your office. I am a full time student at and can not afford to retain an attorney so I am hoping you can provide some guidance.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)