

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-173

Complainant: No. 1177700074A

Judge: No. 1177700074B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issue raised is legal or appellate in nature, and the more appropriate remedy would have been to appeal the judge's decision to a court with proper jurisdiction.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: July 30, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 30, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.



Judicial Conduct Commission

CJC-07-173

Keith E. Stott Jr.

JUN 29 2007

1501 W. Washington St., Ste # 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

RE: Enforcement OF A.R.S. Const., Art. 6 § 21.

DEAR Keith E. Stott Jr.,

In the [redacted] Superior
Court Case No. [redacted] are
in front of Judge [redacted] who I filed
A Motion For Rehearing on [redacted] Judge [redacted]
has not ruled on this Motion and up to this point
even after being motion to rule expeditiously. He has
refused to rule in these case. I request that
he be recused from my case's. Because of his
failure to rule and the fact that Judge [redacted]
[redacted] ruled on [redacted] that Judge [redacted]
did commit bias and prejudicial acts within the
participation of the case, but not extrajudicial acts.

Therefore, Judge [redacted] had to rule on the
case as said by [redacted], per A.R.S. Const.,
Art. 6 § 21. This action is mandatory on Judge [redacted]
I request that you hereby act & recuse him
from the case's immediately for a violation of my rights.

Respectfully Submitted this 27 day of June, 2007.

By [redacted]