

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-212

Complainant: No. 1304010009A

Judge: No. 1304010009B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: September 12, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 12, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-07-212

2

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name

Judge's name

Date: 7/30/07

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

RE: ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT
SCOPE OF AUTHORITY

- 1) WILLFUL MISCONDUCT IN OFFICE.
- 2) A VIOLATION OF THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT.
- 3) CONDUCT THAT BRINGS THE JUDICIARY INTO DISREPUTE!

FACT: ON [REDACTED] I WAS SUBJECT IN A TRAFFIC STOP BY DPS. = ARS 828-695 - A MISDEMEANOR. [REDACTED]

ON [REDACTED] - JURY TRIAL FOR MY CASE [REDACTED]

"MY COMPLAINT" IS "DOCUMENTED" IN THE SUPERIOR COURT "TRANSCRIPT" OF THIS JURY TRIAL - DAY TWO - PAGE 43 - LINES - 5 THRU 9 (COPY ENCLOSED)

(CLAIRIFICATION: PROSECUTOR [REDACTED] HAD MADE VERY STRONG REFERENCE TO MY ONE & ONLY PRIOR FELONY OFFENSE IN MY LIFETIME ON [REDACTED] (I WAS [REDACTED] YEARS OLD AT THAT TIME). PROSECUTOR'S INTENT WAS TO USE THAT PRIOR TO "AGGRAVATE" MY CURRENT CASE [REDACTED]

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

AT THIS POINT, JUDGE []
(THE ENABLER), DID COMMIT -
THE FOLLOWING OUTRAGEOUS
LIE! CJC-07-212

LINE 5) "WHY DO YOU WANT [] TO ASK
YOU ABOUT STATEMENTS "YOU" MADE
IN THE "PRESENTENCE REPORT"
WHERE YOU SAID THAT YOU WERE
TRYING TO "KILL YOURSELF"
AND THAT'S WHY YOU HIT TWO CARS
AND THOSE PEOPLE IN THAT WAY?"
"YOU WANT THIS JURY TO LEARN ALL
9) ABOUT THAT?" (SEE EXHIBIT "A" - "A(1)" - "B"

TO GIVE JUDGE [] THE BENEFIT
OF THE DOUBT, AND TO ASSUME THAT
HE MAY HAVE CONFUSED ME WITH
SOME OTHER CASE COULD BE A
RESPONSE. HOWEVER, AS THE DOCUM-
ENTS SHOW, "I" DID MAKE 3 WRITTEN
REQUESTS FOR THE JUDGE TO CORRE-
CT THAT LIE. JUDGE []
MADE NO EFFORT TO CORRECT
THIS TERRIBLE LIE. HAD JUDGE
[] PRODUCED A COPY OF THAT
COURT RECORD IT WOULD HAVE
DISPROVED HIS STATEMENT AND

118-113
149

96-117
112

④

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE
CJC-07-212

EXPUNGED MY RECORD - EVEN
"WITHOUT" AN ADMISSION OR
RETRACTION BY JUDGE [REDACTED]!

HOWEVER, JUDGE [REDACTED] CHOSE
TO NOT CORRECT HIS
MALICIOUS BLUNDER!

PROSECUTOR [REDACTED] SAW
HIS CHANCE TO CAPITALIZE ON
THIS MALICIOUS BLUNDER!

SEE ATTACHED EXH. "C"

"THAT" ACTION NOW MAKES THE
CASE "MALICIOUS PROSECUTION."

NOTE: ON [REDACTED] COMP-
LAINANT DID FILE COMP-
LAINT [REDACTED]

ORDER: THE COMMISSION FOUND
NO ETHICAL MISCON-
DUCT ON THE PART
OF THE JUDGE.

THAT COMPLAINT WAS FILED PROPRIA-
PERSONA, AND WITH NO ACCESS TO
LEGAL RESOURCE LIBRARY.