

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-233

Complainant: No. 1317900193A

Judge: No. 1317900193B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: September 27, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 27, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-07-233

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: Robt. Stapleton Judge's name: SALLIE D. GAMES Date: 29 Aug '07

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

It is my belief that the matter was prejudged that the
just was separate with the prosecution and in League Party,
lacking impartiality in a matter politically motivated in favor
of a friend of the Mayor to obtain his ends; in process of which
I significantly abridged our civil rights.
I attach them with the pleadings in the accompanying
electronic device, which we hope may enlighten.

Thank You

Robt. Stapleton

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

AUG 27 2007

Commission on Judicial Conduct
Mr. E. Keith Stott, Jr.
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

24 August 2007

Mr. Stott:

Thank you for your acknowledgement of 6 August; your letter arrived as I was away on personal business.

Yes, as to the matter of the complaint, here are your forms as you asked in addition to which also I attend you herewith a letter I have composed to the prosecutor, Mr. Soley (also with the attachment) which I hope may more profoundly express my sense of dilemma. It ought to be quite self-explanatory since you have absorbed the previous document. I have also included the encoding of materials from previous incarnations of this Juris odyssey on the jump drive to randomly scan, readily available to your examination. My understanding was always that the law is the same for everyone, that it's purpose is to help, never to harm, that it seeks for the truth and not to spin it and to find justice and equity, not injustice or inequity. I hope that you may find my principles in keeping with your own fundamentals.

May I say too that after reading into the record the document you already possess, Judge Gaines ordered that after sixty days the maximum fine be exacted if my property did not conform to the "city code" and if not she would see to it that I would be incarcerated for six months. The standard for this is a subjective standard and for a *residential* property. *There is no city code for a farm.* I believe this fairly hammers down our contention, per the law, that such a "criminal" trial ought require a jury. That was never the intention. I believe the encumbrances are meant to further deter me from resisting the intrusion of the project next door and are merely penalizing me for my lack of cooperation to profit the former mayor and his coterie with whom he is complicit, using city services, including the court, to run interference on behalf of his private commerce.

Also it has come to my attention that Mr. Whalemantle has been summarily relieved as the attorney of record for the Berkina group. I do not speculate as to why.

My most personal regret has as much to do with the portents for our society generally as my own fortunes in these matters. What is done to the one, the excess of political power over the rights of citizens, can and in my opinion *is* being done to the many, of which this is but a small exemplar, and I do not think this was ever the way the founders intended, either of the nation or the state. If I can, and I believe I can, ever have a jury, discovery in order to compare the official record to what I already possess and access to witnesses, I think it probable that there would be grounds for criminal RICO proceedings against public officers for their complicity in criminal conspiracies (plural) to profit selected associates at the expense of citizens of... lesser means and political access.

I do this because *someone* has to and I just don't notice anyone else stepping up to the plate. For all I know, I may be the tar baby in this little production. I think there are things happening *meant* to be out of sight and out of mind that I may never know. This is not transparency in governance of the people's business. This is inconvenient for me, to be sure, but I didn't inaugurate this contest. However be assured that to the extent that we are of one mind to find truth and rid the society of deleterious encroachment on all our Constitutional rights and the restraint of governance, I will finish it only because I believe in the Republic.

Thank you, and Semper Fi

Robt. Stapleton
740 East Roberts Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85022

P.S. You are perfectly at liberty to call as I suspect, if you have questions, further discussion may be in order. 602-467-4967