

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-247

Complainant: No. 1319210341A

Judge: No. 1318510341B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found that the issues raised involve two administrative law judges with the Industrial Commission. The commission has no jurisdiction over administrative law judges. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: October 17, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 17, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

09/10/2007

CJC-07-247

Claim# 20051-250983

Carrier Claim# 127CBANI4669N

This concern is addressed to the commission:

I have closely reviewed the Commission's web site and feel strongly that I must continue with my complaint. At this time I am not looking for a reversal in the decision. What I'm looking for is a thorough investigation into my complaints as to how my claim was handled. There are people that should be held accountable for their conduct.

(Judge Eaton's first appearance in my case was on 02/06/07, she made an appearance wearing what appeared to be a blue party/work dress which I found rather revealing, bear in mind Judge Eaton's size. I found this rather offensive and disturbing. Judge Eaton never even wore the proper judges robe attire.) This showed that the courts as well as the defense, took no seriousness in my case, they would rather treat it like a joke. On 05/01/07 I was on time for this hearing. Approximately 5 minutes later Mr. Lundmark rushed in, followed immediately by Judge Eaton. Why?? Why were the courts and the defense both late at approximately the same time??

I told Judge Eaton, I was on new medications which were affecting me on 02/06/07.

I told Judge Eaton, I was getting over an allergic reaction to some pain medication on 05/01/07.

On 02/06/07, I told Judge Eaton what exactly had happened that day at Caremark in the print room.

(Judge EATON's ... Canned.. assessment which I received on 05/24/07 was absolutely absurd !! If she found anything as stated in her opinion, it would have been PROVOKED by the lack of accoutrement's worn by her on 02/06/07.)

Judge Eaton never gave me the time of day for my final summation, once the defenses token doctor, Beghin was excused.

I wish for a full investigation to take place.

It most definitely, can be seen, by reading all court records, from day one, that a conspiracy against me, the plaintiff, was in existence and in play involving the courts and the defense. There was un-ethical conduct by the defense parties especially Ms. Edmond, of Potts and Associates. Perhaps the reason she withdrew from the case. There was also a play in corruption involving Mr. Lundmark and John Beghin, the token doctor for the defense.

I have in my possession, court records for this ICA claim. I also have all letters of correspondence between myself and all other parties involved (Ms. Thorson from the ICA Claims division, Mr. Lee from the State Bar of Arizona and both Judges being Powell and Eaton).

I have a transcript of the last office visit with Beghin, dated December 27th, 2006. I'm happy to say that this Token doctor or hand puppet tried his deception during our conversation. (page 4 line 8) This again further shows of the conspiracy between the courts and the defense. In Eaton's summation I received on 05/24/07, she stated that I injured my back LIFTING papers. Just like the deception trying to be pulled by Beghin and this is not so!! I had told and shown to the courts that the information on that 1st page of Healthsouth notes were incorrect and inaccurate. I told Eaton exactly what had happened at work in Caremarks print room.

A logical reason, for my state of condition, that I am in, is that Caremark never abided to my doctors request for light duty work. The director from Caremark, Jean Ann Jones made reference that light duty work was provided. This was a LIE on her part as well as the fabrication of the story that I injured myself during a hiking excursion. Judge Powell, on 01/20/06 found it necessary to intervene on Jean Ann Jones testimony, Jean Ann Jones eyes rolling back and forth, Jean Ann Jones staring at the ceiling. She was making such a blunder of what Ms. Edmonds and she had practiced that Judge Powell spoke on her behalf for the courts! I thought Judge Powell was supposed to be the mediator, I didn't realize he would side with the defense. This again showed corruption stemming from the big business of Caremark, down to the defense and courts.

I requested that Judge Eaton review past court records.

I now record all conversations between my self and the ICA, unfortunately I didn't in the beginning because I never realize the system involved such un-ethical corrupt people, such as the defense in my case. I had spoken to Mr. Lee of the State Bar of AZ about the phone conference in which Ms. Edmonds LIED on November 17th 2005 yet nothing was ever done about it other than trying to sweep it under the table. I told Mr. Lee I was not satisfied with his response. And further action was necessary.

I just wish for this matter not to be swept under the table. A thorough investigation is needed of all involved. Someone has to be held accountable. I believe I have all the facts in front of me revealing the truth.

Again this whole case of mine involves a conspiracy between the courts and the defense. Unethical conduct and corruption. Hopefully your people will be able to see the truth. And arrive at a reasonable summation.

Sincerely,



Alex Dolishny