

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-264

Complainant: No. 1320510213A

Judge: No. 1320510213B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and the court file and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve legal and procedural matters outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The commission is not a court and cannot review evidence to determine whether or not a judge's decision is correct. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: February 5, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\g\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 5, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-07-264

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

[Redacted]

Judge's name:

[Redacted]

Date:

09/30/07

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

THIS JUDGE FAILED TO PROVIDE THE DEFENDANTS DUE PROCESS AND IN DOING SO VIOLATED THEIR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS TO LIFE, LIBERTY AND THE PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS AND EQUAL JUSTICE UNDER THE LAW. THE DEFENDANT FIRST APPEARED IN THIS MATTER IN 02/05 RESPONDING TO A UNLAWFUL RESTRAINING ORDER BY THIS JUDGE. I PERSONALLY MADE JUDGE [Redacted] AWARE OF SUSPECT DOCUMENTS (SEE ATTACHED #1 266) AND ADVISED HER THAT THE "NOTICE OF SALE UNDER DEED OF TRUST" WAS SENT TO SOMEONE IN [Redacted] WHILE ON THE OTHERHAND LIVED AT SAID PROPERTY ADDRESS RECEIVING MONTHLY STATEMENTS FROM THE PLAINTIFF. SHE ASKED [Redacted] WHO WAS THIS PERSON ON THE NOTICE AND SAID "THIS IS WRONG, FIX IT [Redacted]". THE PLAINTIFF FAILED TO MEET THE STATUTORY REQ'S PROVIDED BY ARS 33-723, ARS 33-807 & 808 AS WELL AS ARS 12-1173 AND THEREFORE HAD NO LEGAL OR CONTRACTUAL POWER TO HOLD FRAUDULANT "SALE OF DEED OF TRUST". SHE SAID AS MUCH AND THEN ORDERED US OFF OF OUR RIGHTFUL PROPERTY & HOME.


(Attach additional sheets as needed)

1 Page 2 of 2

THIS JUDGE FAILED TO PROVIDE US OUR DUE PROCESS AND LIKEWISE FAILED TO ALLOW EQUAL JUSTICE UNDER THE LAW, BUT BY FAR THE HARIEST PILL TO SWALLOW WAS THE FACT THAT AFTER SHE INSTRUCTED THE PLAINTIFFS ATTY TO PERSONALLY APPEAR, NOT TELEPHONICALLY OR WITH ANOTHER ATTY. APPEARING FOR HIM, HE FAILED TO APPEAR AS ORDERED AND SHE DID NOTHING, I PROTESTED THIS FACT IN COURT ON THE DATE HE FAILED TO APPEAR AND SHE GAVE THE PLAINTIFFS OUR LIVES WORK AS A REWARD. THIS WOMAN HAS NO RESPECT FOR THE WORKING CLASS OR ANYONE TRYING TO DEFEND THEMSELVES. SHE ALSO FAILED TO EXERCISE THE POWER PROVIDED FOR STATUTORY

REQ'NT VIOLATIONS
PURSUANT TO ARS. 33-420(a)
ARS. 33-420(c)

RESPECTFULLY



10-03-07