

11-7-07

NOV 09 2007

CJC-07-293

Dear Sirs;

I would like this letter to be treated as a Complaint of Honorable

See:

⑥ Reporter's Transcripts of Proceedings in

Court on

@ 8:30 AM (Reporter No. _____), where Hon. _____ asked me: "was there anything in it (Plea agreement) that you did not agree with or did not understand? I answered: I asked _____ (my attorney) a question of the Law change and my attorney stated to me that it was not retroactive.

* This retroactivity was held to be retroactive by the Court in my Rule 32 Concession of Error by State Prosecutor _____

in Case No. _____ on Pg. 2 stated that in _____ registration statute was amended to only require registration of Persons who Commit

Sexual abuse "If the Victim is under fifteen years of age." This was the very Statute I discussed with _____ that I believed relieved me of Sexual Registration, and I made Hon. Judge _____ aware of my beliefs in this, and that my attorney who was present, stated to me that this new law did not retroactively apply to me, when in fact it did, because the May 29th, 1998 Amendment did not apply to me because that would constitute a EX POST FACTO violation. Hon. _____ was on notice that I was not Statutorily required to Register and that NO Court ordered my participation in registration, and that the Judge had no Subject Matter Jurisdiction to Sentence me for "failure to Register as a Sex offender" when NO Factual basis exists for this illegal conviction that was ultimately overturned, or reversed and vacated.

This Judge knew I was not a registerable sex offender and allowed this injustice - I've been in prison for 3 yrs. Now and am still in litigation for the same offense of "Failure to Register" that stemmed from this conviction after Judge _____ placed me on probation for 3 yrs, and the probation officer ordered and demanded I change my place of residence to a homeless shelter called "CAS" that did not make accommodations for me but handed me a bed roll and ordered me to stay on the street in front of the building. Judge _____ is supposed to know the Law (Statutes) He should have ordered an inquiry into my Claim of Attorney _____ Ineffectiveness, which in my opinion was a violation of my 6th Amendment right under the U.S. Constitution. Mr. _____ was elected to perform this duty to inquire where such particulars exist. Sincerely, _____