

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-012

Complainant: No. 1326400246A

Judge: No. 1326400246B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. The complainant's "list" of people he claims received unjust sentences is comprised of one other person who had two extreme DUI charges, and four driving on a suspended license charges and whose conviction was affirmed on appeal. The complainant was sentenced to prison for 2.5 years and the presumptive term for a first offense DUI felony is the same.

Because the contents of the complaint do not support the claims, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: February 11, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 \g\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 11, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC-08-012

JAN 18 2008

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Honorable _____ Judicial Behavior has caused great harm in the Superior Courtroom, from his misfortune concerning

He is clearly showing extreme unusual behavior out of the ordinary Realm of Fairness. His indignation clouding his Judgment, Fairness, Competence and Mental Stability to balance a Fair outcome to all not just a few in punishment is beyond questionable to reasonableness and traditions of Superior Courtroom Judges. His Wrath Behavior targets the very vulnerable, underprivileged specifically the Hispanic race that can't afford Private legal Representation. Enclosed are several Hispanics including myself receiving 25 Years to Prison putting us out of the ordinary Realm of 4 months that hundred if not thousand throughout Arizona's DUI Prison Yards receive for First Time Felony Convictions. This is not a "Sentencing Complaint" it calls for questions concerning Honorable _____ Mental Competence to be "Fair and Impartial" and to abide by Basic Fundamental Principles Equal Protections and the law and Standards for Sentencing First Time Felony Convictions. Honorable _____ with prejudice sentenced more First Time Felony Conviction to longer prison terms and the majority seem to be Hispanics with Court Appointed Lawyers. His Ethical Standards seem to be more Professional in front of Paid Street Attorneys verses indigent poorly represented Public Defenders. It is clear after my own investigation that his sentencing has indignation, wrath and prejudice all rolled up into one course of action when sentencing indigent Hispanic Defendants offenders with First Time Felony Convictions. I'm asking his record be investigated and censored because his behavior in his Courtroom is out of the "Ordinary Realm and Fairness". His Actions have run away from the norm and Indignation, Wrath, Prejudice Consistency, Balance, the Equal Protection Clauses are all in question along with the responsibility of Punishment Equally to all, the Fairness Doctrine of Numerous Protections by the Constitution to treat everybody Equal in Punishment.

Thank You!

(Attach additional sheets as needed)