

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-038

Complainant: No. 1329412400A

Judge: No. 1329412400B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve legal determinations made by the judge over nine years ago and are outside the jurisdiction of the commission. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: March 20, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 \s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 20, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC-08-038

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

A) Through-out the court proceeding was prejudice and bias by allowing evidence that didn't support the facts in the case, 1) like defendant beating his wife, without no police report or photograph of any injury, 2) the Police Report was tainted by tamper with the transcripts, 3) Allowing evidence of 3 pair of the panties being torn after 3 months after the investigation was over, 4) No DNA testing of the 3 torn panties, 5) A pair of defendant short wasn't test for any DNA Evids of the victim

B) Through-out the court proceeding allow a all white jury that discriminated the defendat from Mexico Simla without any minorities.

C) Through-out the court proceeding allow inconsistent statement by Prosecutor expect testimony by that he didit, than she said he didit. It should of been a mistrial.

D) Through-out the court proceeding allow misleading question by the prosecutor to the witnesses, 2) On Page 46 caught misleading the witness question should of call for a "Mistrial", 2) when the Prosecutor question the witness he would bring up about and her answer would be "Yes";

E) Through-out the court proceeding overuled de fense counsel when the Prosecutor mislead with a question to his own witness by leading them.

F) Through-out the court proceeding wouldn't allow defendat witness testify because it would of prejudice this case. By violating defendat rights, Ariz. Const. Art. II § 24 and U.S. Const. 6th Amdt. In criminal prosecution, the accused shall have right to have compulsory process to compel the attendance of witnesses in his own behalf.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)