

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 08-056

---

Complainant: No. 1142500234A

Judge: No. 1142500234B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no basis for reopening an eight-year-old complaint against the judge. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: March 20, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 2, 2008.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*



Wed 2/27/2008

Dear Board members: I previously filed a complaint against Judge [Name] which should be in your records. Because I am again involved in Criminal victimization thru COP police - and I have also been a victim of i.d. theft etc. and I have had to appear for criminal trials etc. my disability known to this Board. I expect this Board, by this complaint, to re-open my complaint on file. I will not appear for jury duty; prosecution; etc until this Board investigates my civil suit in Superior Court titled

and

who are the recipients of Judge [Name] and the Courts prejudice and bias. Listen to that tape of Judge [Name] and look at the Affidavits of

Attorney [Name] Tell

me in your next letter why I am able to carry the higher burden of proof in criminal testimony but must tolerate Judge [Name]

a prejudice the

Perjury and

CJC-08-056

2

criminal activity in and out of the courts - and do it without working telecommunications.

The Prosecutor for the state; the Mayor; City Manager; State Attorney General; and others have been asked to investigate and the facts are well documented at many levels for your Board.

I still don't have irritation as a direct result of interference - and he started this mess in his courtroom. I filed civil suit in desperation and trying to protect myself was "harassment".

I expect an investigation into this immediately to restore my credibility taken from me by the Defendants and Judge.

I will await your response promptly so that I may go forward with criminal testimony/prosecution and/or jury duty. But not until adequate

investigation into discrimination; bias; and perjury. Defendants not away with it again during after the lawsuit/cv.

CJC-08-056

(3)

This Board; Superior Court;  
Justice Court have ~~to~~ allowed  
my neighbors

and now to imply that  
I need a Guardian Ad Litem because  
of perjury; criminal telecommunications  
interference; civil irrigation; discrimination  
and bias etc. The Courts have the power  
and authority to get the phone records  
denied me as well as the non-existent  
City records for damage and injury done  
by my neighbors.

and the current ongoing harassment  
injury and damage and victimization  
and my neighbors can interfere with  
my necessary telecommunications and  
blame it on the City as documented  
in Superior Court at any time.

Even tho it is known by Court

Court this Board etc. that it  
has already been established and  
admitted to <sup>by defendants</sup> in many cases including  
and beginning with The City

of said to file civil suit. I did.  
It was harassment. Perjury bias  
and discrimination at its finest.

No easements are yet safe. My credibility  
is only good in criminal court? Why?

I don't want to appear for jury duty and take the chance if may be a civil trial where I have no credibility with the Courts. It was not a credibility issue, in the recent/ongoing id-theft by

I simply cannot reconcile the Board of Judicial Conduct "tape" with the civil affidavits. The Courts bias and discrimination is confusing injurious and damaging and tolerated and enough is enough. I want to heal and recover. Prosecute the gang who shot my house with me & family in it. Once again!

Caught on tape!

Good enough for

Criminal but not civil.

Why is the civil court so burden to disabled victim of multiple felonies?

Some prosecuted and some allowed and encouraged? I have no civil rights as documented. Why? It is disabling.

You can verify the trauma to me by contact

Superior Court

Victim's Advocate

And it's allowed to continue. Discrimination Bias and Perjury. PREJUDICE.