

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 08-076

---

Complainant: No. 1331710363A

Judge: No. 1331710363B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issue raised by the complainant regarding the basis for the judge's decision involves a legal question, and the appropriate remedy would have been to appeal to a higher court.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: May 6, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

    \s\ Keith Stott      
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 6, 2008.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC-08-076

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 3/14/08

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

And myself were sworn in by the DAiff in said court room, with no facts presented by but only false accusations and after above named judge asked about my criminal matter and charges that did not pertain to the matter at hand based nor made a judgement that I deemed unfair and case more less on prejudice and hearsay.

I feel under the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct in and under Canon 3.6 Adjudicative Responsibilities Section (2) "did not" maintain faithfulness to the law or professional competence and felt had been swayed by partisan interests (4) I "don't" feel the judge had been patient in that court proceeding nor courteous, because if so he would have understood the matter better and what have been able to notice that had filed a order of protection in

superior court on only to drop the order then leaving state in - returning twice before filing a order of protection in superior court making false allegation to obtain said order on

even stating in order she had not seen me for 3 months prior to new order of protection but turning herself into the county around are about

(5) My reasoning why I believe that the above named

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

CJC-08-076

Judges decision: was Bias and prejudice; stated AFTER  
I ANSWERED HIS QUESTION ABOUT WHY AND WHAT  
my criminal charges had been; AND EVEN AFTER HE  
QUESTIONED IN REGARDS TO IF AND DID MY  
CRIMINAL CHARGES PERTAIN TO HER DAUGHTER AND  
OUR SON; WITH HER STATING "NO" MADE A COMMENT  
TO THE EFFECTS OF "I'm going to keep AND NOT  
VACATED THIS ORDER OF PROTECTION BECAUSE  
YOU CANNOT HAVE ACCESS TO YOUR SON AND  
PLUS MOST LIKELY WHEN YOU GET OUT YOU'RE  
GOING TO HAVE TO REGISTER AND MOST LIKELY  
HAVE TO STAY AWAY FROM CHILDREN" (NOTE: THIS  
WAS AFTER ONCE AGAIN PREJURED HERSELF  
AGAIN AND STATE "I DON'T WANT HIM AROUND MY  
KIDS AND HE ASSAULTED MY DAUGHTER") NOT GIVEN  
ME THE CHANCE TO CLARIFY OR EXPLAIN THE  
FACTS BEFORE MAKING HIS DECISION BASED ON THE  
PROVIDE INFORMATION GIVEN BY \_\_\_\_\_, AND (7)  
OF THE SAME CANON 3-B IN THE ARIZONA COMMISSION  
ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT: "DIDNT" ACCORD TO MY  
INTEREST IN THE PROCEEDING DENYING ME A FAIR  
HEARING THAT DAY; WHICH WOULD FALL ALSO UNDER  
(9) WHICH STATES A JUDGE SHALL NOT, WHILE A PROCEED-  
ING IS PENDING OR IMPENDING IN ANY COURT, MAKE ANY  
PUBLIC COMMENT THAT MIGHT REASONABLY BE EXPECTED  
TO AFFECT ITS OUTCOME OR "IMPAIR ITS FAIRNESS" OR  
MAKE ANY NON-PUBLIC COMMENT THAT MIGHT  
SUBSTANTIALLY INTERFERE WITH A FAIR TRIAL OR

CJC-08-076

Hearing. Once again my criminal matter has nothing to do with my son and the order of protection that I have attempted SEVERAL times to have squashed do to the Allegation in order Having no proof and being false on behalf to keep me away from my SON. I HAVE NOT BEEN CONVICTED OF THE ACCUSATION'S pending in Superior Court And By THE SOUNDS OF THE MINUTE ENTRY ENTERED ON the decision MADE WAS MORE LESS based on IF IN HIS MIND HE SAID ME AS BEING GUILTY ALREADY and if HE believed I HARM my SON or HER daughter UNDER THE STATE OF ARIZONA CONSTITUTION my Declaration Rights; THE 14TH AND 15TH HAVE BEEN VIOLATED by THE ABOVE JUDGE ASSISTED in depriving me of my son without due process of Law I have NOT Being Able to challenge her statements and accusations fairly, and by THE ABOVE JUDGE NOT given me THE proper time and opportunity to speak enough on said matter. to allow him to better understand and make a Fair decision. that's Nonprejudice and bias.

Futher more my pending criminal case is NOT in Violation of A.R.S. 13604.01 so what gives this judge to make such judgement with no Facts withere's the preponderance of Evidence that I the defendiant has committed acts of domestic violence or may commit said acts of domestic violence.