

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-080

Complainant: No. 1332610099A

Judge: No. 1332610099B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised by the complainant are essentially legal or appellate in nature, and the appropriate remedy would be to appeal the judge's decision to a higher court.

The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: April 23, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 23, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
~~Commission on Judicial Conduct~~
1501 W Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC-08-080

The judge made statements that was not in court report. And would not allow other default information that was court ordered in previous court case he stated would not allow add ons to this case. The judge mistaken dates on payment, bounce payment, refused payment. Also I did not agree on telephone court hearing for lawyer, Was ordered to paid \$14,010.89 fees and 22 days later ordered to higher fee of \$16,526.89 he did not show up in court not even once, all his participation was by phone. Also, that the previous court orders and mortgage contract stating that they pay for all court cost. And that stated in court papers the judge stated that all installments to and including the installment due was timely and was not, it bounced, the company that transfers the payments, covered the check and ask for the money back, and so did not make timely payment. And judge ruled that they did make timely payment when in fact they did not. And granting order to not allow accelerate the principal and accrued interest under the Note, not to foreclose the deed of trust. All the court and legal paperwork is all included. That this judge, disallowed a court order on previous case and would not allow any fact to be brought into this case. that they defaulted on several items.