

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-082

Complainant: No. 1332810734A

Judge: No. 1332810734B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: May 29, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 /s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 29, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I WAS BROUGHT BEFORE
WITH AN "UNKNOWN COUNSEL", UPON ENTERING THE COURT THOSE
WAS NO DISCUSSION ABOUT THE PRESENCE OF COUNSEL OR THE ILLEGAL
ISSUE OF THE WARRANT, INSTEAD I INCURRED ^{MANIPULATION}
BY BEING ACCUSED OF MAKING A COMMENT ALLEGEDLY AT THE
SAME ATTORNEY THAT WAS STANDING IN FOR MY ATTORNEY THAT DAY
WHEN I MADE ATTEMPT TO VOICE MY OBJECTION TO
THIS ERRONEOUS AND FALSE ALLEGATION, NOT TO MENTION THAT
THE SAME ATTORNEY ALLOWED TO STAND IN WAS THE SAME
ATTORNEY THAT WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIBERATELY FORCING ME
TO LEAVE THE COURT BUILDING WHILE HE KNEW CLEARLY THAT I AND
THE OTHER GUY WERE AWAITING TO APPEAR FOR OUR HEARINGS (PRE
TRIAL (WITNESS). HOWEVER, I WAS TOLD TO SHUT MY MOUTH AND
CONTINUED TO BE ACCUSED FOR CALLING THIS "UNKNOWN COUNSEL" THE NAME
OF THE CELEBRITY. THIS COMMENT WAS NEEDED DEROGATORY OR OFFEN-
SIVE NOR WAS IT EVEN MENTIONED IN THE COURT ROOM. MY
ARGUMENT WAS HOW COULD THIS COURT CONDONE THIS UNKNOWN
COUNSEL TO ABUSE HIS AUTHORITY AND DIRECT ME FROM THE
BUILDING THE DAY THE WARRANT WAS ISSUED
AND THIS ALLEGED COMMENT WAS MADE. NOW THE
DEFENDANT IS A 46 YRO DISABLED HISP. WITH LITTLE OR NO
KNOWLEDGE OF LAW WHO SUFFERS FROM MENTAL & PHYSICAL
AILMENTS. WHOM HAS BEEN ULTIMATELY FORCED TO BE REPRESENTED
BY WHO REFUSED TO VISIT, DISCUSS OR
INTERVIEW ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT, NOR ADEQUATELY
PREPARE FOR HIS DEFENSE. THIS CASE WAS SWITCHED TO

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

DISPITE
NUMBEROUS ATTEMPTS AT ORALY DISMISSING
FOR NOT ONLY NOT PROVIDING
INFORMATION ABOUT THE UNKNOWN COUNSEL
BUT FOR DELIBERATELY NOT SHOWING UP FOR
A COUPLE OF HEARING INCLUDING

- I HAVE BEEN DENIED THE
RIGHT TO A HEARING REGARDING THE WARRANT
AND FEEL THAT UNWILLING
TO GET INVOLVED ENTERTAINED
AUTHORITY TO DEGRADE AND CONDEMN HIM
FOR SOMETHING NEVER DID E, INFACET,
THE DAY BEFORE WARRANT WAS ISSUED
WENT TO PICK HIM UP
FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF NOT MISSING HIS
PRE WITNESS HEARING. ALSO FEELS
THAT DUE TO THE COMMENT ALLEGATION, WITH
THREAT ABOUT NOT SAYING ANY-
THING TO ANY OF HER COURT ADMINISTRATION,
HINDERED MY ABILITY AT ADEQUATE
COUNSEL AS WELL AS A FAIR AND JUST
COURT PROCEEDINGS. IS
CERTAIN THAT FULL AND COMPLETE TRASCRIPTS
SHOULD BE AVAILABLE UP VIEWING REGARDING
THE HEARING WITH
AND THIS UNKNOWN COUNSEL, HAS
MADE NUMEROUS ATTEMPTS AT RETAINING

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE - 08-082

Page 3 of 4

INFORMATION THROUGH
THE COURT WITH NO AVAIL.

, FEEL'S THAT TO THE DEGREE OF
THE ACCUASSAION AND THE MANNER IN WHICH
SHE ADDRESSED THE DEFENDANT WAS IMPARTIAL
UNETHICAL, UNPROFESSIONAL AND DID NOT
PERFORM HER DUTIES DILIGENTLY TO
MAXIMIZE HER AUTHORITY IN GOOD FAITH.
FURTHERMORE, IN ADDRESSING THE ISSUE WITH
THE UNKNOWN COUNSEL WHOM DELIBERATELY ABUSED
HIS AUTHORITY AND ALLOWED TO STANIL ON MY
BEHALF EXERCISED WILLINGNESS
TO INAPPROPRIATELY CONFLICT WITH HER
TRUE AND JUDICIAL OBLIGATION.

REALIZES THAT THIS ATTEMPT
AT HAVING THE COMMISSION INVESTIGATE
MAY HAVE LITTLE OR NO EFFECT WITH REGARDS
TO HOW THE COURTS SYSTEM CONTINUES TO
ABUSE IT'S AUTHORITY AND/OR INTERPRET
ALLEGATION(S) MADE BY STAFF WITHIN ITS
STATE PUBLIC DEFENDERS OFFICE. IS A
CHRISTIAN, AND HAS NO REASON TO MAKE NO IMPROPER
ACCUSATION NOR DID HE WILLFULLY OR INTENTIONALLY
MISS ANY COURT HEARING IN WHICH A WARRANT WAS
ISSUED IN , THEREFORE, GRACIOUSLY
APPRECIATE YOUR CONCERN IN THE MATTER.

March 26, 2008