

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 08-093

---

Complainant: No. 1333100444A

Judge: No. 1333100444B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The commission is not a court and cannot review evidence to determine whether or not a judge's decision is correct. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: May 1, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on May 1, 2008.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

**CJC-08-093** APR 14 2008

Affidavit of Disgust

To whom it may concern:

I do solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Laws of the State of Arizona.....How quickly we forget what our oaths and duties are which we swore to uphold. I find it completely repugnant that an elected official, Judge \_\_\_\_\_ has chose to ignore the Laws of Arizona which he swore to uphold and abide by. Why I ask would \_\_\_\_\_ allow any of its judges to conduct themselves in such a way? For a judge to deny not one but, three hearings, is wrong and illegal to do such things. The law clearly states a person has the right to a modification of child-support when a change of circumstances are substantial and continuing ARS 25-327. I think, rather I know having additional children is reason for such a modification. The law is adamant about sticking to the Child Support Guidelines. So I ask why would Judge \_\_\_\_\_ than chose to ignore ARS 25-320 and ARS 33-1131 and not follow the Rules of Court, why he would allow this woman to receive double the state's requirements when she is still receiving monthly alimony payments living with someone and not working, *but* accept an ex parte order of assignment when it was never agreed or granted in their divorce decree? Why do people go to court in the first place? To resolve issues, such as; modification, which was ignored for 19 months after having our son, visitation which has been withheld since their divorce, tax deductions which she has and shouldn't have being only worked four months since this divorce, child safety hearing about domestic violence children have been witness to, and than forgery which she signed and cashed! How many people do you know with a new family can live on bringing home \$350 a week, and having to claim max. exemptions to do such a thing, on top of worrying about getting to and from work because you no longer have your vehicle because you could no longer come up with the money. How on \_\_\_\_\_ does he ignore a change for judge, and when you have a conflict of interest with an attorney, isn't the professional and right thing to do is excuse yourself from a case? It's complete ignorance that someone would continue to conduct themselves this way, when he has done the right thing in other child support cases

\_\_\_\_\_ and many others I have found. I have serious apprehension on his intentions to my husbands case! Moreover; what is even more disgusting that this man, \_\_\_\_\_ has the audacity to tell my husband that he should not of had any more children! He has the constitutional right to have a new life after a divorce, how dare he insinuate that our children are not of worth in this world!! Shame on him for not following the Laws of Arizona, and putting a new family not only in financial turmoil but, now jeopardizing their marriage because of his decisions on ignoring the laws. Rest assured that as a woman I find his words not only offensive but prejudicial and I will be letting every woman's rights groups, pro life groups and all media aware of this. How \_\_\_\_\_ can allow such things to go on is beyond acceptable, the laws and child support amount should be \$374, no where near the amount of \$715 that he changed it to. It is all too clear that he is not taking into account our two children and dismissing them as if they have no existence to this world. It's also, extremely arrogant of him to think that voters are just as ignorant to what the laws are. I am fully aware that when a County continues to not follows laws and procedures it is grounds for a lawsuit just as I am fully aware that an elected official may be personally sued after his term in office has expired.

I do swear and affirm this is correct to the best of my information, knowledge and belief.

8 Apr 08  
Republican

Copies Sent to:

The Honorable Janet Napolitano