

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 08-120

---

Complainant: No. 0106700133A

Judge: No. 0106700133B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issue raised involves a legal determination that is outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The commission is not a court and cannot review evidence to determine whether or not a judge's decision is correct. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rule 16(a).

Dated: June 5, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

    /s\ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 5, 2008.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CJC-08-120

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION  
1501 W. WASHINGTON ST.  
PHX, AZ 85007-3231

FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST

MAY 15, 08

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE - PRO-TEMP

COUNTY SUPERIOR CT.

I AM BRINGING THIS JUDICIAL COMPLAINT IN GOOD FAITH EVEN THOUGH I KNOW ITS <sup>LEGALLY</sup> FRUITLESS; AS THE JUDICIAL DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION PROTECTS THEIR OWN JUDGES EVEN WHEN THEY VIOLATE PEOPLES CIVIL RIGHTS THROUGH ETHICAL MISCONDUCT ESPECIALLY BLACK-AMERICANS! CORRECT? ANYWAY I'LL PROCEED TO TELL THE JUDICIAL <sup>DISCIPLINARY</sup> COMMITTEE THE BEAS & PREJUDICE AND ILLEGAL JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT THAT JUDGE WAS DOING AGAINST ME IN MY CASE

RECEIVED  
MAY 07 2008  
CMA/ndos

SUPERIOR COURT. THE SO-CALLED CRIMINAL CHARGES WERE FORGERY & ATTEMPT FRAUD SCHEMES. HOW THEY FALSELY CHARGED ME WITH THESE CRIMES I DON'T KNOW. AS I'VE NEVER FORGED ANYTHING IN MY LIFE; NOR HAVE I EVER BE FRAUDULED ANYONE. MY FORMER ATTORNEY FILED A CLEMENCY PACKET FOR ME. I WAS GRANTED CLEMENCY IN ALL OF MY

Now all DONE WITH I FILED A RULE 32 (P.R) PETITIONING TO MY CLEMENCY. I WAS TO BE RELEASED WITH ABSOLUTE DISCHARGE THAT WAS GRANTED TO ME BY THE ARIZONA BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY. THUS FAR THAT HAS MY HAPPENED DO TO THE ILLEGAL FOWL MISCONDUCT BY THE CLEMENCY BOARD AND THE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE

ALWAYS RECOGNIZE HIM SELF IN MY CASES BECAUSE HE KNEW THE WRONGS HE HAD COMMITTED AND THE COMPLAINTS I HAD PREVIOUSLY FILED AGAINST HIM WHICH AWARDED IN MY FAVOR. HE'S TO NEVER

EVER RULE UPON ANY OF MY COURT FILINGS. THAT WAS TO ALSO  
BE WITH THE WHOLE COURT OF COUNTY. I WAS TO BE ASSIG-  
NED AN OUT OF STATE JUDGE - THAT NEVER HAPPENED!  
WHICH VIOLATED MY DUE PROCESS RIGHTS. ANYWAY

Judge WAS INSTRUCTED TO ENTER UPON  
MY COURT FILINGS AND TO RULE UPON THEM FICTITIOUSLY  
EVEN THOUGH I HAD LEGAL RELIEF COMING BY  
LAW. WHEN CLEMENCY WAS GRANTED TO ME THEY DIDN'T  
WANT TO RELEASE ME AS WAS ORDERED (ABSOLUTELY DISCHARGED)  
INSTEAD A SECOND AND NEW CLEMENCY BOARD WANTED TO PLAC-  
E ME UNDER HOUSE ARREST OR ON PAROLE - I TOLD THEM  
NO-DICE! SO, THE CLEMENCY BOARD CHAIR

TOLD ME THAT IF I WOULD ATTEMPT TO GO TO THE  
COURT TO SEEK FURTHER RELIEF HE WOULD SAY THAT ALL MY  
GRANTED CLEMENCY DOCUMENTS WERE FORGED DOCUMENTS AND  
WOULD GET WHATEVER JUDGE TO GO ALONG WITH IT AND  
DENY ME RELIEF. (I DID FILE A COMPLAINT WITH THE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE) THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE DID RESPOND  
AND ADVISED ME TO NOT HAVE ANY FURTHER CONTACT WITH  
MY COURT APPT. COUNSEL

HAS COPIES OF THAT ORDER, AS WELL AS MY RESSENTEN-  
CING ORDER, PRESERV TRANSCRIP ORDER  
ALL CLEMENCY<sup>GRANTED</sup> ORDERS. WE HAD AN EVIDENT TRIAL HEAR-  
ING ON THE JUDGE RULING

THAT ALL THE CLEMENCY DOCUMENTS WERE FORGED  
JUST AS SAID THE JUDGE WOULD DO  
I AM IN THE PROCESS OF FILING A BAR COMPLAINT AGAINST

I REALIZED THIS SECRETLY PART OF  
THE ACT TO DENY ME RELIEF. I HAD ADVISED HER TO  
REMOVE JUDGE BUT SHE WOULDN'T DO IT.

I HAD ALSO FILED TO SOBER REMOVE AS MY COUNSEL  
JUDGE REFUSED. (2) NEXT PAGE

CJC-08-120

My RESENTMENTING Judge WAS HUM.

His Now a MAGISTRATE JUDGE IN U.S. DIST COURT (Tucson) I had CONTACTED HIM AND HE ADMITTED TO DO ANOTHER Rule 32 JUST ON MY RESENTMENTING. I did but Judge [illegible] ENLIGHTENED THAT TOO? AND FALSELY STATED "NO-RESENTMENTING EVER OCCURRED" WHICH IS UNTRUE. THATS HIS ILLEGAL WAY OF DENYING ME RELIEF. THIS HAS BEEN THE WORST JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT I've SEEN. ONCE THIS HON. SUPREME COURT JUDICIAL COMMITTEE INVESTIGATES THIS MATTER YOU'LL SEE THE SAME THING! PURE JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT OF THE HIGHEST DEGREE ETHICALLY! DO THIS KIND OF MISCONDUCT PRO-TEMP HUM. JUDGE MUST BE REMOVED FROM THE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT BENCH AND CRIMINALLY CHARGED! BUT I KNOW THAT WOULD HAPPEN!! My FAMILY, HAS Hired ME A CIVIL RIGHTS ATTORNEY- AND FOR SHOWING THEM THAT THE ARIZONA JUDICIAL COMMISSION DO NOT DISCIPLINE THEIR JUDGES WHO DO UNETHICAL MISCONDUCT- ESPECIALLY WHEN THE COMPLAINT IS "BLACK-AMERICAN" AND IN PRISON FALSELY ANYWAY. THE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT REQUESTS TO GIVE ME MY TRANSCRIPTS OF MY RESENTMENTING HEARING OF [illegible] DO TO THE ILLEGAL MISCONDUCT OF JUDGE [illegible] I NEED THESE TRANSCRIPTS TO DO A PETITION FOR REVIEW TO THE ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS BEFORE TWO WITHIN THE THIRTY DAY TIME FRAME. IF I DON'T GET THESE TRANSCRIPTS I CAN'T DO MY PETITION FOR REVIEW. THESE TRANSCRIPTS ARE CRUCIAL TO SUFFERING ME RELIEF. SINCE THE STATE had a CLEMENCY BOARD SECRETARY LIG PERJURY, UNDER

UNDER OATH, by Telephonic Deposition, do to the  
 INSTRUCTIONS TO "LIE" FROM THE BOSS  
 OF THE A. B. U. E. C. I have all of my ORIGINAL  
 CLEMENCY BOARD MEMBER PANEL sworn under  
 OATH THAT they granted me clemency in all three  
 E. AND WAS  
 ORDERED to be released IN APRIL 2000. I AM  
 TRYING to be BRICK AS POSSIBLE with the JUDICIAL  
 COMMISSION BOARD. So, please forgive me if I am  
 EXPLAINING too much. ONCE AGAIN, I ALREADY KNOW  
 THAT THE HON. SUPREME COURT <sup>JUDICIAL</sup> DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE  
 WILL NOT DO ANYTHING TO DISCIPLINE JUDGE  
 ILL HAVE to settle for SECOND CLASS LEGAL APOCALYPSIS  
EMENT. AT THE VERY LEAST I WOULD LIKE THE HON.  
 JUDICIAL COMMISSION TO ORDER THE COURT TO TRAN-  
 SCRIBE MY RESENTMENTING HEARINGS  
 SO THAT I CAN DO MY RETIREMENT FOR REVIEW TO COURT  
 OF SPECIAL DEU. 7000. I ALSO, WOULD LIKE APPOINT  
 MENT OF COUNSEL EITHER FOR A NEW RULE 32 HEARING  
 WITHOUT JUDGE AS JUDGE SINCE THE RULE 32  
 HEARING IN WAS A FAKE HEARING  
 OF ETHICAL <sup>JUDICIAL</sup> CONDUCT BY JUDGE OR  
 COUNSEL APPOINTED TO SPECIFICALLY DO MY RETIR-  
 EMENT FOR REVIEW WITHIN THE 30 DAY TIME FRAME.  
 I DECLARE UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT  
 ALL E, HAS STAFF IS TRUE.

SPECIAL NOTE: cc: Files

Attached is a COPIES of my  
 PERSONAL TRANSCRIPTION FROM  
 my RESENTMENTING HEARINGS, ALONG  
 WITH A COPY of OMB of my CLEMENCY  
 HEARINGS FOR release. NOTE: Ed like  
 be TRANSMITTED to the Federal AUTH-  
 ORITY AS PREVIOUSLY ORDERED