

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-128

Complainant: No. 1335110205A

Judge: No. 1335110205B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and determined that the judge and the witness were not acquainted. The commission found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 10, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 /s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 10, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC-08-128

May 15, 2008

Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington St. - Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3222

Commission on Judicial Conduct,

I write today in behalf of our son who is currently incarcerated and he and our family are requesting an Investigation into a possible "Conflict of Interest" between two former employees of the Arizona Attorney Generals Office.

Superior Court Judge worked at the Arizona Attorney Generals Office

Police Officer also worked at the Arizona Attorney Generals Office before he became an Officer for the Police Department.

In our son's first trial in and in his Second trial in , Officer was involved in both trials before the Honorable

We believe that if worked for the same Attorney General in the same Building, it is possible that they knew each other.

If your Investigation determines that they knew each other than Judge should have Excused himself from our son's two trials.

Thank You

P.S. Various Documents Enclosed:

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE **CJC-08-128**

Date: 5/15/08

in the Superior Court Judge
ordered that the sealed documents of Police Officer
be unsealed. It took Attorney
five months of legal work to unseal the documents, which the city of
assistant attorney had threaten to destroyed. These records of Officer had been
sealed by Superior Court Judge after
an in camera review, our defense attorney asked that the sealed
documents be saved for the Appeals Court.

In the Court of Appeals Website: it showed that they had three manila envelopes and a
Confidential Criminal History sealed on

At our son's request who is incarcerated for trying to save his life against Criminal
Assault on the morning of we found out that was driving
with a Pending .15 DUI, which Judge did not want the Jury to know about in two
trials. was cited for his .15 DUI and he was on his way to
work as a Sniper on the SWAT team, the investigation says that if had made it to work
he would still had been Drunk at a .12.

Our son requested that we contact attorney to try and unseal
file.

We obtained our copies of the unsealed documents on which contained
several complaints against from citizens and of course the names of the citizens
were redacted by the city of attorney and we all know that there are two sides to every
story and we would like to contact those citizens that filed a complaint to hear their side but it
will take an Expensive Lawsuit. (I am Enclosing Various Documents).

Sincerely