

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-146

Complainant: No. 1336410572A

Judge: No. 1336410572B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 10, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 10, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC-08-146

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 5-7-08

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I was issued a Citation via U.S. mail for hitting & prohibited storage for a property I do not own. I have never been in, and am not the legal owner. Attached is a copy of citation. I told a (agent) lawyer she called me personally. The hearing was set for before at that hearing I presented the judge with proof of the legal owner (Copy of deed attached). At the hearing judge refused to dismiss this case for wrongful service and stated "I can't believe that could make such a mistake." On set for trial I motioned the Court to "Dismiss Case" based upon wrongful party Copy attached. I defended the entire trial. At the trial never reached her decision to dismiss. Therefore my motion is still undecided. On I motioned for a "Change of Judge" due to same to be unbiased. This motion was denied (Copy attached). Now has decided that in spite of all the evidence to contrary I'm personally liable and not the legal record owner of the property. Knowingly has prejudiced herself with her biased statements and decision. She has "perjured" the corporate val and decided in favor of the Township based upon her prejudiced attitude. She has violated my civil rights!

(Attach additional sheets as needed)