State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-174

Complainant:

Judge:

No. 1338110075A

No. 1338110075B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter as well as the recording of the hearing and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated December 9, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 9, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR *OFFICE USE ONLY*

CJC -08-174

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 7-15-08

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I went to the	Court for the
hearing for My attorney,	
had told me he was surprised the case had been acc	epted by Judge
and should have been heard in	
hearing started the judge stated she had pretty,	much made up her
mind before, but wanted to see if I brought any	
with me. We did have evidence to submit but the	
the plaintiff on a legal technicality. No testimony was	
when questioned the judge and tried t	
position to let the case be heard, Judge n	nde soveral
references to statements I had allegedly made, h	at in count, which
indicates to me she had discussed the case with	the plaintiff, or
some one associated with the plaint: F.F.	
After the hearing, I was told by that	Judge
never awards attornes's fees, even if the defende	ints don't show up
for count. She did, havever, award attorney's fees	in this case in
an exorbitant amount.	
I feel contributing factors in this case are	the fact that
two of my relatives her for the position of and	the judge is
Close Friends with parties associated with the plain	HFF, hanely
	was not allowed to
present referred directly to	15 an omplayee of
the phintiff. I feel the indicial power in this	case has been
the plaintiff. I feel the indicial power in this mis used, eather in netaliation or as a fau	or to a friend.
,	

(Attach additional sheets as needed)