## State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

**Disposition of Complaint 193** 

Complainant:

Judge:

No. 1340210163A

No. 1340210163B

## ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge, the complainant's motion was ruled on within a reasonable time. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 24, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on September 24, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

## CJC -08 - 193

I am the respondent in to this case my concern about Judge started with the filing of respondents motion to dismiss

Basis on the residency requirements had not been met by the Petitioner original petition by petitioner, affidavit regarding minor children

There was a notice sent directing the Petitioner to file an affidavit as to where he had lived ninety days prior to filing

Petitioner filed an affidavit per court notice it was not what was requested by the court and further directing petitioner to file an affidavit with the courts to where he resided three months prior

Court notice: Petitioners response to request for residency was not sufficient. It was furthered ordered directing petitioner to file an affidavit of residency for 6 months prior failure to do so will result in a dismissal of this case

Petitioner has not filed an affidavit of residency for 6

months prior

I know that ignorance is not allowed within a court of law though do not understand why a final order has not been set to dismiss his case. I have called the courts in attempting to understand I get no answers therefore I have no choice but to question Judge conduct in this case. It has been 2 months since a court notice has been filed with the courts regarding this issue