

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-223

Complainant: No. 1343310220A

Judge: No. 1343310220B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Moreover, the commission cannot change a judge's decisions. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 10, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 10, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC - 08 - 223

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 7/28/08

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

① Judge CLEARLY ALLOWED THE STATE TO ALTER EVIDENCE IN THE TRIAL. NOT ONLY DID HE ALLOW IT, BUT ADVISED THE STATE TO CHANGE THE BODY OF A WRITTEN CONFESSION. HE ALSO ADVISED STATE, WITNESS OFF, TO PURGER HIMSELF REGARDING THIS CONFESSION. ONCE THE CONFESSION WAS CHANGED AND MY SIGNATURE PLACED ON IT MADE IT A FORGERY, THAT IS A FELONY CRIME. THAT JUDGE (PROSECUTOR), AND OFF, USED TO GET A CONVICTION. A JUDGE SHOULD NOT ALLOW THE ~~CONFESSION~~ FORGED EVIDENCE TO BE PRESENTED, LET ALONE INSTRUCT THE STATE TO DO SO. THIS CHARGE IS A MATTER OF FACT. CLEARLY STATED IN THE TRANSCRIPTS.

SECOND THE JUDGE REFOSED TO ALLOW EXPERT TESTEMONY TO BE PRESENTED BY THE DEFENCE, NAMELY A. (MEDICAL RECORDS), B. (MEDICAL RECORDS) AND TESTEMONY BY MEDICAL STAFF. AT THE TIME OF THE VALENTARYNESS HEARING ON EVIDENCE BY THE STATE, THIS IS ALSO CLEARLY DEFINED BY THE COURT RECORDS

CONTINUED.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

CONTINUED

CJC-08-223

~~ADDITIONAL~~

THIRD, THERE IS ALSO THE THE QUESTION OF A CLEAR CASE (AS AFFIRMATIVELY APPEAR IN THE RECORDS) OF ABUSE OF DISCRETION. THESE ARE CLEARLY OUTLINED IN SEVERAL CASE LAW PRECEDENT FOR THE REVIEW OF THIS COMMISSION. THERE ARE A WIDE VARIETY OF THESE ISSUES OF A CLEAR CASE OF ABUSE OF DISCRETION THAT APPEAR IN THE RECORDS. SEE STATE V. WATSON, 793 P.2d 80 (1990); STATE V. SHARP, 973 P.2d 1171 (1999). I HAVE SEVERAL MORE SHOULD THEY BE NEEDED. THE RECORDS THEMSELVES CLEARLY SHOW THE WRONG DOINGS BY JUDGE AND PROSECUTOR.

Judge CLEARLY ALLOWED EVIDENCE THAT VIOLATES MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, TO ALLOW THE STATE TO GET A CONVICTION.

FOURTH Judge CLEARLY ALLOWED A JURIOR TO BE ON THE JURY, AFTER THE JURIOR TOLD THE COURT THAT SHE HAD TALKED TO THE STATE WITNESSES AND THE ALEGED VICTIME PRIOR TO TRIAL.

THERE ARE MORE ISSUES I SHOULD BRING UP. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THIS COMMISSION TO SEE TO IT THAT A JUDGE ACT ACCORDINLY, TO INSURE THAT ALL ~~THE~~ PEOPLE

CJC-08-223

ARE GUARANTEED A FAIR TRIAL. IF THE TRIAL JUDGE IS ALLOWED TO STEP OUTSIDE THE LAW THEN WE MIGHT AS WELL DO AWAY WITH THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM, BECAUSE THERE CAN BE NO SUCH THING AS A FAIR TRIAL. WHEN A JUDGE STEPS OUTSIDE THE LAW OR BECOMES A LAW UNTO HIMSELF, IT IS THE JOB OF THIS COMMISSION TO HOLD THE JUDGE ACCOUNTABLE FOR HIS CRIME. AT THIS TIME I WOULD SUGGEST THAT THE PROSECUTION AND OFFICER BE INVESTIGATED FOR TAMPERING WITH EVIDENCE, WHICH IS CLEARLY EVIDENT IN TRIAL RECORDS, AS A SO CALLED CIVILIZED PEOPLE WE MUST NOT ALLOW OUR COURTS OR PUBLIC OFFICIALS COMMITTE SUCH CRIMES AGAINST THE PEOPLE UNPUNISHED.

IN CLOSING I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT A JUDGE, LAWYER, OR POLICE OFFICERS SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO PUT THEMSELVES ABOVE THE LAW. IT IS THE JOB OF THIS COMMISSION TO BRING THESE JUDGES UP ON INVESTIGATION AND CHARGE THEM WITH THESE CRIMES. IF A JUDGE IS ABOVE THE LAW AND ALLOWED TO BREAK THE LAW TO HELP THE STATE WIN A CASE, THEN WE HAVE NO JUSTICE!