

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 08-237

---

Complainant: No. 1344810227A

Judge: No. 1344810227B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge who has authority to allow an answer to be filed late. The issue raised is legal or appellate in nature, and the more appropriate remedy would have been to appeal the judge's decision to a court with proper jurisdiction.

The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 22, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

    \s\ Keith Stott      
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 22, 2008.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC - 08 - 237

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 9-5-08

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

TRIAL

the Defendant's ARE A CORPORATION  
OPERATING IN AZ.

DOING BUSINESS AS

THE Plaintiff's Complaint is THAT, the Defendant's  
VIOLATED the City Codes

WHEN defendant's Towed Plaintiff's  
VEHICLE.

VIOLATIONS BY Defendant's ARE AS FOLLOWS,  
A.R.C.P. Code Sec. 36-144.C. + C1. Sec. 36-144.E.  
Sec. 36-144.G., Sec. 36-144.G., Sec. 36-144.J.  
Sec. 36-144.K. AND Sec. 9-499.05(D).

Defendant's Admitted and plead  
Guilty To the Court AS TO the Charges made  
in the Complaint. AFTER the TRIAL WAS  
Complete, the Judge stated, "I will give  
my Decision within Twenty-Four Hours"

the Judge  
Issued AN ORDER of Dismissing the Case.  
therefore: the Judge Didnot Follow the  
Rules of CIVIL Procedure AS TO the  
City Codes. the defendant's did-  
NOT ANSWER the Complaint with the Time  
ALLOWED to ANSWER. the defendant's  
Were Five (5) days Late Ref: Their Answer

See Attached Copy of Defendant's

ANSWER.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)