

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-242

Complainant: No. 1345300556A

Judge: No. 1345300556B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of either judge. The complainant, an inmate who complained about lack of counsel, was appointed an attorney on June 4, 2008, and the attorney has filed motions on the complainant's behalf.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 22, 2008.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 22, 2008.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC - 08 - 242

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 9.22.2008

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

RELIEF FILED A NOTICE OF POST CONVICTION
COURT. IN THE YAVAPAI COUNTY SUPERIOR
FILED PURSUANT TO ARIZONA RULES OF
CRIMINAL PROCEDURE, RULE 32.1(E), AND REQUESTED APPOINTMENT
OF COUNSEL. FOUR MONTHS HAVE PASSED AND HON JUDGE
HAS FAILED TO APPOINT REQUESTED COUNSEL.
MR. TEAGLE HAS A FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO FILE
A PETITION FOR POST CONVICTION RELIEF AND THE SIXTH
AMENDMENT RIGHT TO HAVE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL. EACH
OF THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS HAVE BEEN DENIED
BY JUDGE
PREDICATED UPON THE INFORMATION FOUND WITHIN THE NOTICE
OF POST CONVICTION RELIEF PAGE 3, AT 10-21, HAS
MERITORIOUS AGENDA TO PRESENT IN THE
COURT. FAILURE OF THE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND
THE CONTINUING UNJUST INCARCERATION CONSTITUTES VIOLATION
OF THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT WHICH PROHIBITS CRUEL AND
UNUSUAL PUNISHMENT.
JUDGE POSTURE IN THIS MATTER DEMONSTRATES
WILLFUL MISCONDUCT IN OFFICE AND CONSTITUTES CONDUCT
PREJUDICIAL TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE THAT BRINGS
THE JUDICIAL OFFICE INTO DISREPUTE, AND DENIAL OF FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)