

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-244

Complainant: No. 1345510577A

Judge: No. 1345510577B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and determined that the judge did not knowingly violate the ethical canons. Accordingly, the commission voted to dismiss the complaint with a private comment pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 12, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 12, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC - 08 - 244

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: 22 September 2008

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Sir / Ma am I will appoligise upfront for my lack of procedural knowledge but I am unaccustomed
to dealing with court related issues first I will adress procedures that I find suspect

I worked on Judge Jeep and replaced his radiator. it was inticated to me I was ONLY TO
Charge him \$35 normally it is much more 2) I was approaced AFTER the court case indicated that

I had created a problem with his Jeep that resulted in him selling the Jeep. I was never directly
approached by Judge to adress this but my informant said Judge stated "I cant prove

did anything wrong but he will be in my courtroom sooner or later" indicating lack
of neutral standing during another court hearing I was involved with he

stepped down and allowed another Judge to take the bench to hear the case I am now wondering why
the selective process occored during that case and not this one During the

case I requested a change of venue but I was denied. I asked for a hearing and on the first court
date the court convienciently "forgot" (lack of experience or something worse) to subpoena the plaintiff

I wasted my entire day because of this. when a week later the plaintiff and myself were in the

courtroom I noticed was present the plaintiff and several Police officers
made a small few minute speech but without substance or factual detail no evidence was presented

she agreed that I had contacted the police several times and nothing had been done according to

the investigations are onging has stated that they are
seeking charges under the "stalking" laws. is constantly intoxicated and has been convicted

of crimes in the past I am a retired Army personell no proof was given THAT I ever approached her

but to the contrary that she finds in fine to approach me my residence my work and such it is

very clear she is the instigator and she is stalking me I took the legal action to assist in relief

from this Judge has allowed in this case to legally continue to harass and stalk me

(continued)

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC - 08 - 244

also as you read this. I am currently on vacation this is know by all parties involved and I believe that certain delays were intended to cripple my attempts for any attempt at an appeal. I am including in this envelope the appeals paperwork. I was denied when I tried to submit this for the courts review. I am also concerned that the appeals process will be invalid to me due to my vacation it will cause me great stress and financial loss to miss this trip due to the current prejudices of Judge and how he ruled on this case and knowing I could not possibly appeal my dates of vacation are I am hoping you can review not only the evidence involved the manner in which Judge conducted himself the fact he refused to allow pertinent evidence into the courtroom and the fact he allows a woman stalk and harrass me in my own home (yes while I am INSIDE my home) I ask the Commision to look into this matter as a crime and to begin an investigation as such I only ask for the opportunity to live peacably in my town in my house and anywhere I may frequent (if you are wondering she said I harassed her with a digital camera that was INSIDE my living room window while she was no more than 25 feet away creating a hostile atmosphere for no less than one and a half hours) what needs to be decided is "what a rational person would do" a rational person would not enter a restauraunt that the other person is in to park across the street consume mass quantities of alcohol talk to person(s) that the other is currently talking to and other situations this is why I was granted an injunction against harassment against originally I have asked the Judge to amend for distance but has not been granted again. it allows to legally stalk me there are many other facets to this I would like to discuss but it is not practical here I only want a fair hearing

thank you for your time and patience I am sure my dialouge was a bit discerning at best if you have any questions or comments you can leave a message for me at I will be checking my messages on a daily basis my mail will not reach me until I return