

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 08-273

Complainant: No. 1348210759A

Judge: No. 1348210759B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter as well as recordings of the hearings and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 6, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 /s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 6, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC - 08 - 273

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Date: October 30th, 2008

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

A protection order was originally entered against me _____ when I reportedly
used a swear word while talking to my landlord _____ who had illegally evicted me without notice one month prior
causing _____ to sign the Protection Order without cause which did not comply with the law-Rule 1.1 and showed
impartiality-Rule 2.2. This woman used the "Protection Order" to steal all of my belongings and forge my checks transferring my
money into her account although _____ was never prosecuted. This is a violation of Rule 1.3 Since the Protection Order
was signed by _____ Judge _____ I felt that the _____ Court _____ could not preside over the case
and made a motion for "Change of Venue"(p-6-12). According to the Clerks of this Court, the new Judge _____ from Precinct 6
was biased because of this recusal violating Rule 2.2. Many continuances were then issued by the Special Prosecutor of
until the hearing date above which was over six months later(p-16-18) violating Rule
2.5. And the Prosecutor attempted to amend the citation to a violent crime (p-19-20) and I made a motion for mistrial on this
account (p-21-22) which was never considered which violated Rule 2.5, nor was my first "Motion and Order to Dismiss" entered
. Most of the motions that I filed were never considered because Judge _____ continually refused to
address them and intentionally interrupted while presenting these motions, violating Rule 2.1 (and many more). Judge
continually interrupted me when I attempted to bring these motions forward. The Court room was in a constant uproar while the
Prosecutor herself and the other witnesses harassed me and made it impossible to concentrate, which violated Rule 1.2. Special
Prosecutor _____ filed a response to this Motion to Dismiss (p-28-30) and then lied in court about having knowledge of
my allegations and that my right to a telephone call and bail while incarcerated which were both listed in my original Motion to
Dismiss which was answered by the Special Prosecutor _____ were both violated Rule 2.15 When considering this
Complaint, I forewarned the Prosecutor and Judge _____ through a "Motion for Reconsideration of Sentence faxed to Judge
and also warned the Prosecutor that She could be caught in a lie _____ when she was
given a copy of a letter sent to Judge _____ forewarning the Judge and Prosecutor of this Complaint.(P-44) This letter and the
motion to amend, both informed the Judge and Prosecutor that I would file this complaint-see pages 31-43 and page 44, however
no action was taken to amend the proposed citation. During the sentencing portion of the Trial, the Judge showed continual
vengeance for the recusal as well as vindictiveness as Judge _____ kept repeating "I'm going to teach you a lesson, I'm going to
teach you a lesson!".... violating the Rules in Cannon One entirely and Rules 2.2 and 2.3. the sentences for both of these class
one misdemeanors was \$380. fine and 14 days in jail. Judge _____ failed to account for the time already spent incarcerated on
both counts which was a violation of Rule 2.1 and constitutes Trial De Novo. During the Trial one witness finally turned and told
the Special Prosecutor to "shut up!" because the witness could not hear over the harassment of the Defendant (myself) by the
Prosecutor. The Trial Court forced me to pay court costs (p-53-54) even though I appealed the indigent status denied by
(44-52). Prosecutor _____ stood next to witnesses that were being cross-examined and told them how to respond and
what to say in States Witness _____ and Officer _____ and also spectators were being allowed to coerce cross-
examined witnesses while the witnesses were being cross-examined,violating Rule 2.2.

*Justice still
Refuses indigent (course) & filing fees waiver*