

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-036

Complainant: No. 1249710629A

Judge: No. 1249710629B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no misconduct on the part of the judge. Questions regarding jurisdiction of the court, due process, civil rights violations, double jeopardy, the validity of evidence, and the credibility of witnesses can only be addressed by a court.

The commission is not a court and cannot remove a judge from a case or change a judicial decision. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 11, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 11, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC 09-036

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name

Judge's name:

Date: Feb. 17, 2009

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Commissioner Held hearing in Superior Ct.
 April 2008 AND Failed to give prior Notice to Defendant.
 Currently Commissioner has scheduled trial for
 February 23, 2009 With NO prior Notice to DEFENDANT.
 Documentation of ICIS computer Documenting Trial DATE Attached
 Blank minute entry attached Currently downloaded has NO
 Information. Documentation of Alleged Attempt to Defraud
 AND Mislead. Result will be Arrest. State has been trying
 for years in this case to Fabricate Fraudulent doctor reports
 to Avoid liability for Alleged Authoritarian, totalitarian, terrorist
 tactics used in this case. Defendant has already been UN-
 constitutionally jailed. M.C.S.O, Jail For 6 1/2 months pre-
 trial incarceration. There is no filed complaint in Superior
 Court in this case in violation of Ariz. Const. Art. 2 Sec. 30.
 Com. is moving in the clear absence of all
 jurisdiction, AND there is a conflict of interest. Com.
 is Named DEFENDANT, in Federal Case PHX-FJM
 currently in U.S. Court of Appeals 9th Cir. Actions appear to be
 in violation of 42 USC, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1985(2)(3) USCA, Amend
 IV, V, VI, VIII, XIV Amend. under U.S. Constitution. Current case
 began Jan 2, 2004 AND was prosecuted Magistrate Court
 2004. Case qualifies for dismissal Double jeopardy speedy trial
 violations AND Denial of all equal legal/civil rights AND Denial of
 all equal racial/civil rights. (Attach additional sheets as needed)
 Ongoing conspiracy using Arizona Courts
 in bad Faith to cause loss of liberty and property without being
 afforded due process rights may be in violation of Acts of Con-
 gress and in violation of Supremacy Clause and in violation of
 Canon Rule 3(b) violation of ARS 12-409, 12-410