

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-047

Complainant: No. 1356110783A

Judge: No. 1356110783B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issue raised involves a legal question concerning the court's jurisdiction, and the more appropriate remedy would have been to appeal the judge's decision to a court with proper jurisdiction.

The commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 1, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 /s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 1, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

March 2, 2009

Re: Case No:

Dear Commissioners,

As you can later read in the enclosed letter to the Attorney General of Arizona, with its accompanying documents, a court issued a final judgment in favor of the "Petitioner/Wife" against me, "Petitioner/Husband." This occurred unopposed by this writer, the Respondent as I was still in Tucson. The "Petitioner/Wife" had appointed Mr. to enforce the decision here in Tucson. I had been a resident of Tucson, AZ even before our divorce. Mr. saw the obvious error in the decision of designating the parties "man" and "wife." He had to change the designations so as to have a case to prosecute and earn a fee. He changed then to "unmarried man" and "unmarried woman. So you see those designations were so important to as to committed perjury in his sworn affidavit to the Superior Court of the State of Arizona in and for the County

What is so important is Mr. deliberately threw me out of his office when I point out this fact of his perjury. He continually ignored this vital point. If he didn't he might lose his right to represent clients as an attorney. He plowed along so as to have both of us appeared in the Hon. 's court. He well knew the Hon. would ignore my pleadings that the hearing was illegal, as stated the whole matter had been completely changed from the decision that he was trying to enforce from the Court. To my great surprise the judge completely ignored my pleadings by threatened to put me in jail if I did not comply with Mr. 's requirements for supplying as much evidence Mr. would demand to see from me.

To show how involved the Hon to Mr. ; the judge issued a "Minute Entry," dated February 17, 2009 stating I did not supply the evidence was demanding of me. But please note the Hon. did not require Mr. to produce any evidence that Mr. had sent me a demand letter stating day, time and place for producing the evidence Mr. was requiring. I well know I never received such a demand, nor was I asked to attend that meeting the Hon. issued that "CONTEMPT HEARING."

Therefore in my opinion, everything went so smoothly between
and the Hon. that this kind of action must be recurring. Also during
the long time that this matter had been incurring, Mr. seem so assured
of him that he showed no concern that he had committed perjury. There is the other
possibility, that any attorney in Arizona can change any out of state ruling to meet the
Arizona attorney's needs. That is what I am asking of this Commission to rule upon, and
time is very short for me as the Hon. desires to put me in jail for not
agreeing with his ruling.

Very respectfully,

, "Former Husband"

Enclosures

Commission on Judicial Conduct
Suite 229
1510 W. Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007