

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-049

Complainant: No. 1304210082A

Judge: No. 1304210082B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of misconduct on the part of any of the judges. Because the contents of the complaint do not support the claims, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 2, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 /s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 2, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

MAR 05 2009

ASPC

Unit

PD Box

, AZ

FOR THE ARIZONA JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE COMMISSION

IN THE

"PLEASE REVIEW"

"ALL COPIES ARE ORIGINALS"

NO.

Plaintiff,

Newly
Discovered
Evidence

Defendant.

COMES NOW the Plaintiff with newly discovered evidence.

VS

I

Facts

Plaintiff was wrongfully convicted of 1 count of burglary & 3 counts of assault on 3 cops. In his direct appeal the Court of Appeals noted that Plaintiff had the same lawyer on the trial court as in the appeal which was a conflict of interest which prejudiced him. Fundamental fairness was denied which resulted in a mockery of justice. // T's violated the Clean Hands Doctrine.

County hired Defendant to provide legal services on Plaintiff's Rule 32.

In a phone conversation w/ Defendant, Plaintiff was told he had several

SEE EXHIBIT

(6)

NOTE:

~~AMONGS THESE WERE A CONVICTION/RULING FROM COURT OF APPEALS~~

colorable issues for appeal within 3 wks. Defendant sent Plaintiff a motion that indicated ~~NO COLORABLE~~ issues & Defendant was off the case.

NOTE:

THAT

~~ATTY. HAD DONE THE DIRECT APPEAL AND PCR.~~

Plaintiff contacted the Bar Associate Organization & was horrified to discover Defendant had an endless supply of criminal defendants & he was not doing any work for them w/ the full approval of County Defendant had been doing these fraudulent schemes for 12 yrs.

County conspired w/ Defendant NOT to provide any legal services. Bar complaints against Defendant all stated the same thing that Defendant refused to do any work on their criminal case which condemned them. This same sort of criminal scheme has been going on in Calif. Exhibit 1

Plaintiff filed suit against Defendant for criminal fraud. Plaintiff subpoenaed the criminal defendant's files to prove that Defendant has engaged in

Ariz. Const. Art II Sec 11 32.

Plaintiff moved the case be transferred to the Supreme Court via Ariz Const Art VI Sec 21.

Exhibit 2

Pinal County continued their criminal conduct to change around orders to spite the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Exhibit 3.

County also engaged in ex parte communications w/ the Defendant & Supreme Court. Exhibit 4.

Plaintiff heard nothing from County until a dismissal order

Exhibit 5. The Superior Court even acknowledged ex parte communications w/ the court handed a copy of Defendant's response 11/2/15! This is fraud.

The Ariz Supreme Court has jurisdiction in this matter & County Superior Court is afraid that Plaintiff has discovered a giant criminal ponzi scheme by the Judges & contact attorneys.

This type of criminal behavior violates the most basic of human rights to a fair day in court.

II

Conclusion

Defendant conspired w the entire County Superior Court judges to trample on the rights of Plaintiff.

III

①

Claims for Relief
Court hear this case.

②

Plaintiff win the civil case.

③

Judges be investigated for criminal fraud.

Dated 3-3-09