

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-060

---

Complainant: No. 1357010227A

Judge: No. 1357010227B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 23, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 23, 2009.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**CJC 09-060**

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 3/10/2009

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

(She is only a JOP, not a Judge)

All documents in the two case files will support my statement that the court

- ① Should not have heard the case from the beginning,
- ② the JOP never exercised ANY judicial discretion, but merely rubber stamped any and all requests of the State,
- ③ The JOP never had any hearings as to necessity for a Rule 11 proceeding of any type, although that is required.
- ④ The court refused to permit me to waive counsel for months and the only way in which I was able to obtain a waiver of (m/s) representation by the Public Defender (Pretendary) was a Commissioner happened to be sitting on the bench on a day that Defendant came to court to file some documents and subpoenas for issuance - which the court did not do until the day before trial.
- ⑤ Denied me compulsory process including witness Colin Campbell
- ⑥ Did not conduct a fair trial and did not permit Defendant to assert defenses;
- ⑦ Did not permit Defendant to defend herself
- ⑧ For while the PD was counsel of record despite Defendant's Hemphing to discharge them on several occasions;
- ⑨ Did not require the State to comply with discovery and
- ⑩ Issued warrants for Defendant's arrest and closure, without cause;
- ⑬ ⑪ Never hears Defendant on any matter before her. (Attach additional sheets as needed)
- ⑫ Sentenced Defendant in absentia then without

Denied Defendant transcripts to which she is constitutionally entitled to deny her visit to copy of judgment.