

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-063

---

Complainant: No. 1357210350A

Judge: No. 1357210350B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 9, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

    \s\ Keith Stott      
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 9, 2009.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

ARIZONA STATE PRISON

MAR 16 2009

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT  
1501 WEST WASHINGTON STREET  
PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007

RE: COMPLAINT CONCERNING JUDGE


DEAR JUDICIAL COMMISSION:

THIS COMPLAINT IS BASED UPON JUDICIAL FAILURE TO RULE UPON A MOTION, SUBMITTED IN EXCESS OF SIXTY (60) DAYS AGO, IN DISREGARD TO SECTION 21 ARTICLE VI OF THE ARIZONA STATE CONSTITUTION, ARIZONA REVISED STATUTE 12-128.01 AND RULE 91 (E) RULES OF SUPREME COURT SECTION VIII. THEREFORE THE RESPONDENT JUDGE HAS VIOLATED THE STANDARDS OF CANONS OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT: 1 (a), 2 (a), 3 (a) (b) (c) AND (8).

RULE 91 (E) REQUIRES EVERY MATTER SUBMITTED FOR DETERMINATION TO A JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR A DECISION SHALL BE DETERMINED AND RULING MADE NOT LATER THAN SIXTY (60) DAYS FROM SUBMISSION THEREOF.

PURSUANT TO THE INFORMATION SUPRA, THE JUDICIAL COMMISSION IS REQUESTED TO ENABLE A REQUIRED ANSWER TO THE MOTION FOR SENTENCE CLARIFICATION. MOTION FILED JANUARY 3, 2009.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME  
THIS 13<sup>th</sup> DAY OF MARCH 2009.



2-15-2013

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES

NOTARY