

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-077

Complainant: No. 1358900139A

Judge: No. 1358900139B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of misconduct on the part of the judge. A judge's decisions are matters of law and are outside the commission's jurisdiction. Because the commission is not a court and cannot change a judge's decision, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 29, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 29, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

09-253

January 6, 2009
Attorney General Terry Goddard
Office of the Attorney General
1275 West Washington Street
Phoenix, AZ 85007

RECEIVED

JAN 16 2009

JAN 14 2009
RECEIVED

Re: Case #

RECEIVED

Superior Court, State of Arizona, In and for the County of
Honorable
Division Two

JAN 14 2009

To whom it may concern;

I am writing concerning the above case that was adjudicated in the Superior Court in Dec. 2008 by Judge . This decision was a blatant travesty of justice. His findings and conclusions were not based on any of the statements in the pretrial depositions or the testimony given that day in court. It seems that he neither took the time to listen that day or to read the depositions that were presented.

Orders:

Even though there never was a constructive trust the judge decided to create one 6 years after the transfer of the property and voided the deed.

Findings ignored by the sitting judge:

5 & 6 - The warranty deed was available to the defendant until Mrs. removed the file box on July 4, 2007.

#10 - anticipation of medical bills. Per plaintiff's deposition her husband was a WWII vet and had access to VA care. Also his son, , Esq. of paid excess medication bills for his father.

#12 - b. executed the deed to hide her assets from credit card companies - not medical issues - and the IRS c. Mrs. testified that there was never a stipulation to return the property to her nor did she ever request it's return until after she and her son had their falling out. There was no agreement to not do anything with the property until after her death

f. Mrs. forged a document that was entered as an exhibit to have the taxes reduced. Signing a document without the knowledge of another person is forgery and is a crime but was never addressed by Judge

#16 - as testified by Mrs. the property was transferred with no stipulations at all.

This judgment removes any protection for anyone who has been given a property by a family member or another party. Apparently if the parties have a falling out the courts can decide to reverse an act that was freely and without coercion executed.

Justice is supposed to be blind but I believe the decision was made as soon as the plaintiff arrived in her wheel chair. Old and sick does not make you honest and nice.

Please investigate this matter.

These decisions should be based on the actual documents and testimonies given. A decision by a judge without any basis in the evidence is Justice perverted and undermines the entire justice system.

Sincerely,