State of Arizona COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

	Disposition of Complaint 09-093		
Complainant:	No	٥.	1360310560A
Judge:	No	ο.	1360310560B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and determined that the judge did not intentionally violate the ethical rule that requires a judge to rule promptly. That being said, the commission voted to issue a private comment reminding the judge of his obligation to rule promptly on all matters. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 7, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ J. William Brammer, Jr.

Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 7, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Your Name:	Judges' Name:_	Date: <u> </u>
PLAINTIF	F ALLEGES THAT HONORABLE	E JUAGE
	FAILED TO DISPOSE OF HIS RULING 175 OF AUTHORITIES 20	
1. UN 7/A	E 25 " DAY OF SEPTEMBER,	A MOTION FILED
	TO TAKE DEPOSITIONS OF I	•
	SIE RULEU UN DI THE C	
	th DAY OF OCTOBER 2008, PLAN	
	DEFENDANTS RESPONSE IN OP DEPOSE NON-PARTY WITNESSE	_
	YET TO BE RULED ON BY	
3 on THE 6	th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2008, PL	LAINTIFF FILEO A
	EQUEST A SUBPOEMA OF SP	
	45 FOR NEWS FOOTAGE "UN-EOIT 1-06 AND SPECIFICALLY MAMED	
	YET TO BE RULED ON BY TH	
4. ON THE 6+	HDAY OF NOVEMBER 2008, PLAINT	IFF FILED A MOTION
	SITIONS OF THREE DEFENDANTS OF	
- MOTION HA	S YET TO BE RULED ON BY CO	ISET.
AT THE	TIME ALL FOUR OF THESE MOT	TIONS WERE FILED
Hon.	WAS NAMED AS PRESIDE	

(Attach additional sheets, as needed)

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Your Name:	Judges' Name:	Date: 4-4-09
POINT THE STORE	E CASE SWITCHED TO JUDGE	WITH NO
MOTIFICATION TO PLA	VNTIFF. IN ANY CASE IT IS T	HE RESPONSIBILITY OF
THE PRESIDING JUDGE	TO RESPOND PROMOTLY AND PHIL	44.
5 ON 25th PAY	OF FEBUARY 2009, DEFENTANTS	E-FILED A MOTION TO
DEADSE THE PLAINT	<u> </u>	
A) A. RESPO	NSE FROM JUBBE IN FAVOL O	S SAID MOTION WAS FILED
FLECTRONICALLY ON	2-25-09. AND AN ORDER OF APP	DEARANCE OF PLAINTIFF
WAS . PHYSICALLY IN A	MY HARDS BY 2-27-09.	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
IT 15 OBVIOSE	TO PLAINTIES THAT THE RULINGS	OF THE LOURT ARE
EXTREMELY UNTIME	LY AND INCONSISTENT. JUDGE	HAS SATESTO
VIOLATED THE CODE O	OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT, SPECIFICALLY	CHOON 3 (B), Q) FAILED
	E LAW; (5) FAILED TO PERFORM JU	
	AND (B) FAILED TO DISPOSE OF	
Efficiently And		
•	EH PAY OF APRIL 2009	
4-7-09		
DATE		
•		
State of Arizona		
(ounty of	VERIFICATION	
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN	to or affirmed before me this $\frac{7+h}{}$ day	of April , 1905.