

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 09-107

Complainant: No. 1334910797A

Judge: No. 1334910797B

ORDER

The commission considered the complaint filed in this matter and after listening to the recording of the hearing found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge.

The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 18, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 18, 2009.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

April 22 2009

APR 27 2009

To: The Commission On Judicial Conduct:

I will try and make my complaint as brief as possible. I am not being treated fairly by Scottsdale City Court Judge [redacted] regarding an ongoing charge of truency I have incurred. (case #M- [redacted] -CR- [redacted] complaint # [redacted] racon)

I am perplexed at the very least, but the matter goes as follows...I was sent to court regarding my son being "truency" at school. Despite the fact that a chronic illness is on file with the school, I am in touch with the nurse on practically a daily basis, I am always certain to call in in the event of an absence due to my child's chronic (very chronic) asthma. Apparently...the school failed to consider over a week of absence excused as I had notified them that my child was hospitalized for well over a week. Apparently they must have ignored any calls I made regarding any absence or tardy as this is how I wound up in court...I felt that with all of my proof of Dr. visits hospital or visits, and hospitalization records this matter would easily be thrown out of court. I informed the prosecutor I was not guilty and had just cause, I was then informed of a trial date of which I prepared for with all nec. documentation. Much to my surprise the Judge was not in the least bit interested in my child's illness or proof of that which coincides with dates of absence. I was simply found guilty sentenced to a period of probation with fees, court fees and more fines...and it was ordered I take a parenting class, insisted that I only could take the course offered by justice svc. and I could only take those services after a costly screening...after completing this screening I was informed that I would be taking a class in Glendale for 26 wks. at 20.00 a wk. on top of the 300.00 I had already pd to justice svc. In hopes of fulfilling my obligation to the court I was trying to make it to these classes. As a single mother of 3 children even 20.00 is always difficult to manage. Not to mention the fact that I have no car or lisc. and no way to make it to Glendale. I have explained over and over to judge [redacted] my feeling of being set up for failure. Although it was not easy and my family did without, I have pd. in full to the courts all money owed on this matter, not to mention an equal amount to Just. Svc. with nothing to show. At one point it was my understanding if I could research and find a comparable class for less money and closer to my home that that would suffice. After visiting Justice svc. again in person to inquire about what criteria I would need to search for, I found them dumbfounded and only an answer of "i guess any class on parenting by a lisc. counselor or higher." After much research I found a program offered by a local church of which I am very certain far exceeds any of justice svc. courses, and I whole heartedly began attending the classes. When I go back to court again as Judge [redacted] insists upon seeing me once a month, I present to her my program information and proof of attendance. Only to be pushed back into her insisting ONLY JUSTICE SERVICES! She is quite certainly a spokesperson of sorts promoting Justice Services only. My attorney from the beginning of this entire fiasco suggested I write this complaint and request to please investigate and move this matter to a different court, as she is no longer contracted with Scottsdale City Court as to my understanding certain moral issues regarding the same Judge

Very Sincerely,