

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-131

---

Complainant: No. 1362900088A

Judge: No. 1362900088B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complainant is frustrated because the judge did not rule on the cases she was handling for her employer. The judge admitted he had not ruled because the complainant, a non-lawyer, had not complied with the rules governing the practice of law. To the effect that the complainant disagrees with the judge's ruling and interpretation of the law, the more appropriate remedy would have been to comply with the judge's instructions to file an appeal.

The commission cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 28, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

    \s\ Keith Stott      
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 28, 2009.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC 09-131

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's name: Judge Date: 05/14/2009

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Corporation has filed several civil and small claims complaints in which  
Corporation has requested the Court to find in favor for Corporation  
against the defendants for deficiency balances owed after their vehicles were repossessed  
and sold at auction. Judge does not find in favor for the Plaintiff nor does Judge  
dismiss the cases. The Orders are returned with nothing on them or with questions  
that believes is beyond the scope of Judge and that the Judge acts more as the  
Defendants Attorney than a Judge. I and are appointed as  
Corporate Representatives for the Civil Court in the State of Arizona (Attachment A).

Some of the questions written on the Orders mailed back to our office are:

1. Show me how and what makes this a commercially reasonable disposition (sale)?
2. Please explain what title Ms. has? We have submitted attachment A several times to the Judge.
3. Why is the resolution lacking in the remainder of the R31 language?
4. Where is the corporate resolution itself? Please show which officers were present?
5. Give me your corporate officers names?
6. Tell me your title and what other duties you perform?
7. Show me how this is the best commercially available price, if the car sold for \$11,568

Who did it sell to also and what connection does buyer have to

Please see the attached documents on the cases submitted to the Court.

*(Attach additional sheets as needed)*