

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-132

---

Complainant: No. 0011500006A

Judge: No. 0011500006B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 21, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 21, 2009.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CJC 09-132

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: Mr.

Judge's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: May 18, 2009

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

20<sup>th</sup> of January 2009, before being sentenced on  
I tried withdrawing a "Guilty Plea" I was coerced to enter 19<sup>th</sup>  
December 2008.

1. Judge acted under conduct that brings the judiciary  
into disrepute. On 1/20/09, I told Judge I didn't under-  
stand several outlines in the plea agreement of 12/19/08, there  
was no action taken by him, when I said, "I want to withdraw  
my plea." I said, "Public Defender never went over the  
plea agreement with me," Judge ignored me.

2. Judge had no right refusing me my Withdrawal of Plea  
under A.R.S. § Rule 17.4 and Rule 17.5.

3. Judge willfully acted under misconduct while in office.  
During sentencing, Judge out of the blue sky that I  
had grabbed a female sexually in a parking lot near the  
Coconino County Superior Courthouse, which never in my  
life time have I done.

4. Judge is not fair with those that come into the  
court room he presides in. He sides with the prosecutors  
in whatever allegations taken in front of him. He never uses  
his own morals whether the person never committed what  
he is accused of. He doesn't consider Constitutional Rights  
violation. He wants convictions through abuse of discretions,  
Upholding the integrity violated, along with independence.  
Duties of judicial office impartially and diligently violated.  
Etc., Etc.