

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-156

---

Complainant: No. 1364710806A

Judge: No. 1364710806B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and determined that the judge's comment about another judge did not merit a reprimand and voted to dismiss the complaint with a private comment to the judge. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 1, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ J. William Brammer

\_\_\_\_\_  
J. William Brammer, Jr.  
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 1, 2009.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

Court

JUN 16 2009

June 15, 2009

Commission On Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Dear Sir,

I am writing to file a formal complaint against Maricopa Superior Court Judge  
for unethical conduct arising out of an incident at the Court security  
checkpoint on Friday, June 12, 2009 which is set forth in the attached Security Incident Report.

Enclosure: Security Incident Report

**From:**  
**Sent:** Monday, June 15, 2009 9:25 AM  
**To:**  
**Subject:** FW: SECURITY INCIDENT REPORT -

**RE: SECURITY INCIDENT REPORT**

On Friday, June 12, 2009, 1:30 PM, [redacted] entered the [redacted] Court with a young girl approximately 7 – 8 yrs old. [redacted] was instructed to remove all metal objects from his person. Upon placing all metal objects near metal detector, Officer [redacted] observed a [redacted] Pro Tem Identification Badge. Upon observing the [redacted] Pro Tem Badge, Officer [redacted] instructed [redacted] to proceed through the metal detector. After the detector sounded, Officer [redacted] instructed him to remove his belt. [redacted] became irate upon being instructed to remove his belt and questioned why Officer [redacted] would allow him to enter without removing his belt and Officer [redacted] would not. Officer [redacted] stated that it was Judge [redacted] ruling that everyone remove their belt and we do not honor [redacted] ID Badges.

[redacted] stated that Judge [redacted] was an idiot! Officer [redacted] stepped to the window in the doorway of the Security Area and said to [redacted] "who are you calling an idiot"? [redacted] repeated "Judge [redacted] ". Officer [redacted] then told [redacted] if he felt like calling Judge [redacted] an idiot, then he should go in person. [redacted] then told Officer [redacted] that he would do just that. Officer [redacted] told [redacted] that would be fine but he should refrain from doing that in the presence of Security Officers. Officer [redacted] advised [redacted] that if he continued to say that, he would be asked to leave the building. Then [redacted] said to Officer [redacted] "What is your name" ( in an angry voice). Officer [redacted] pointed to his ID tag and said "my name is [redacted] ". Officer [redacted] responded "what is your name"? [redacted] responded "my name is [redacted] , Judge [redacted] and I'm going to get you"! Officer [redacted] responded "well you do that". [redacted] then left the Security Area.

Officer [redacted] advised this incident to Judge [redacted] immediately after [redacted] left the Security Area.

At time of incident, additional Security Officers were present and witness incident: Officer [redacted] , Officer [redacted] ; Officer [redacted] , and Officer [redacted]

**City Court Administration**