

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-168

---

Complainant: No. 1365910811A

Judge: No. 1365910811B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and determined that the judge did not violate the Code of Judicial Conduct but may have given the wrong impression by tracking the complainant's case too closely. The judge was reminded of her obligation to comply with the provisions of Canon 2, which requires a judge to perform judicial duties impartially. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: December 1, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ William Brammer

---

J. William Brammer, Jr.  
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed  
to the complainant and the judge  
on December 1, 2009.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona  
 Commission on Judicial Conduct  
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC 09-168

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 7-2-07

**Instructions:** Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

Please look into Judge  
 handling of my charges. It was certain from  
 the start that she was bias and is biased  
 in her job. Needless to say not qualified  
 to be a member of our judicial system.  
 The evidence & The Judges findings  
 will confirm this. Also enclosed Army  
 answers in the margin to her notes. Any  
 further information can be obtain from  
 the Arizona Regional Court paper - minute  
 entry, etc.

Judge comments says it  
 all, she (Judge ) is not worthy or qualified  
 to serve. I hold hardly agree and feel  
 she should be removed from her office  
 and never be allowed to serve in this capacity  
 whatsoever.

Had justice not prevailed sh  
 Judge would have Rail Roaded me.  
 & stigmatize, and attacked my moral & character  
 I have never been in trouble  
 before. Your attention and quick action  
 to Remove Judge would be grate-  
 Fully appreciated.

CASE: J- 0403-TR- 2009000895 PARTY: D - 001  
ST OF AZ VS  
JUDGE: PN HON. STATUS: OA ORAL ARGUMENTS  
FILING DATE: 02-25-2009 CASE TYPE: TR4 LJ-CRIM TR-DUI  
EVENT CATEGORY: Z

DATE	SEQ	EVENT	RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	Number of Hours Sentenced	RESULT
08-09-2008	01	COMMENTS DATE OF VIOLATION - DEFENDANT CITED AND RELEASED.		\$0.00		
08-20-2008	01	I/A HELD: FELONY/MISD/CR TR DEFENDANT APPEARED BEFORE JUDGE DEFENDANT REQUESTED A WEEK EXTENSION TO DECIDE WHAT SHE IS GOING TO DO. JUDGE SET THE ARRAIGNMENT FOR 8-27-08 AT 3:00 P.M.		\$0.00		- NOT TRUE - Judge didn't understand the charges + told her to take a week to think about it. Refused to consider appointing an attorney + tried to discourage her from hiring one.
08-27-2008	01	CAL: ARRAIGNMENT ARRAIGNMENT SET FOR 3:00 P.M.		\$0.00		
08-27-2008	02	APPEARANCE DEFENDANT APPEARED FOR ARRAIGNMENT.		\$0.00		
08-27-2008	03	ARRAIGNMENT/INITIAL APPEARANCE		\$0.00		- Father asked her to drop charges. (Judge)
08-27-2008	04	NOT GUILTY/NOT RESP PLEA DEFENDANT ENTERED A PLEA OF NOT GUILTY.		\$0.00		
08-27-2008	05	REQUEST FOR DEFENDANT REQUESTED TEN DAYS PENDING RESULTS OF BLOOD TEST, BEFORE A TRIAL IS SET. REVIEW DATE SET FOR 9-10-08.		\$0.00		- NOT TRUE - Judge said she never had to deal w this. Judge asked what to do. I can't have you come in here every 10 days, so Judge said I'll call you when it comes. Once the results came back she would call A.
09-10-2008	01	CAL: STATUS/REVIEW HEARING REVIEW SET FOR THIS DATE. JUDGE ADVISED THAT THE COURT IS STILL WAITING ON THE RESULTS FROM THE URINE TEST. EXTENSION GRANTED UNTILL THE RESULTS OF BLOOD WORK IS RECEIVED BY THIS COURT. NEXT REVIEW DATE SET FOR 10-16-08		\$0.00		
09-10-2008	02	REVIEW/STATUS HEARING ORDERED NEXT REVIEW HEARING SET FOR 10-16-08.		\$0.00		
10-16-2008	01	CAL: STATUS/REVIEW HEARING CASE TO BE REVIEWED FOR RESULTS OF URINE TEST.		\$0.00		- NO urine test
10-16-2008	02	APPEARANCE DEFENDANT APPEARED. JUDGE ADVISED THE DEFENDANT THAT THE COURT IS STILL WAITING ON THE RESULTS FROM THE URINE TEST.		\$0.00		A did not show up on the 16th.
01-14-2009	01	LETTER SENT JUDGE MAILED A LETTER TO DEFENDANT ADVISING HER THAT THE TEST RESULTS FROM POLICE DEPARTMENT HAD BEEN RECEIVED. NEW ARRAIGNMENT DATE SET FOR 1-21-09 AT 3:45 A.M.		\$0.00		
01-16-2009	01	TELEPHONE CALL JUDGE REQUESTED THAT CLERK CALL THE DEFENDANT AND ADVISE HER THAT SHE DID NOT HAVE TO COME IN TO COURT ON JAN. 21, 2009. CLERK CALLED THE DEFENDANT AND LEFT A MESSAGE FOR HER.		\$0.00		Did not get a message
01-21-2009	01	CAL: ARRAIGNMENT ARRAIGNMENT SET FOR 3:45 P.M.		\$0.00		

RECEIVED

MAR 03 2009

ATTORNEY AT LAW

She never said she wanted a bench trial. Wanted jury trial.

CASE: J- 0403-TR- 2009000895 PARTY: D - 001  
 ST OF AZ VS  
 JUDGE: PN HON. STATUS: OA ORAL ARGUMENTS  
 FILING DATE: 02-25-2009 CASE TYPE: TR4 LJ-CRIM TR-DUI  
 EVENT CATEGORY: Z

DATE	SEQ	EVENT	RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	Number of Hours Sentenced	RESULT
01-21-2009	02	COMMENTS ARRAIGNMENT SET FOR 1-21-09 IS VACATED AND TRIAL DATE SET FOR <u>2-11-09</u> AT 3:30 P.M.		\$0.00		
01-23-2009	01	ORDER SET: TRIAL TRIAL SET FOR FEB. 11, 2009 AT 3:30 P.M. SUBPOENA'S WERE ISSUED TO: OFFICER OFFICER CENTRAL REGIONAL CRIME LAB, AND HEARING NOTICE MAILED TO AND SUBPOENA MAILED TO		\$0.00		
01-27-2009	01	TELEPHONE CALL OFFICER HILL CALLED AND REQUESTED THAT A SUBPOENA BE ISSUED FOR OFFICER		\$0.00		
01-27-2009	02	TELEPHONE CALL CLERK CALLED THE DEFENDANT AT HER IN-LAWS TO FIND OUT IF THE DEFENDANT WOULD HAVE AN ATTY.		\$0.00		
01-27-2009	03	TELEPHONE CALL DEFENDANT'S FATHER-N-LAW CALLED. HE ADVISED HE HAD RECEIVED LETTER FROM THE COURT AND GAVE IT TO HIS SON. CLERK ASKED TAHT DEFENDANT CALL THE COURT WHEN HE SAW HER.		\$0.00		
01-28-2009	01	MOTION TO CONT. BY PLAIN./DEF. FROM P.D. CALLED. SHE ADVISED THAT THE OFFICER WILL BE IN A TRAINING ON FEB 11TH AND ASKED IF THE BENCH TRIAL CAN BE CHANGED.		\$0.00		<del>see state</del> A not tdd + no opportunity to respond.
01-30-2009	01	ORD'R RESET PTC/TRIAL/SET'LMNT JUDGE <u>RESET THE BENCH TRIAL</u> TO FEBRUARY 25, 2009 AT 3:30 P.M. SUBPOENA'S AND HEARING NOTICES MAILED/ SERVED ON ALL PARTIES.		\$0.00		A never knew this
02-11-2009	01	CAL: BENCH TRIAL BENCH TRIAL SET FOR 3:30 P.M.		\$0.00		
02-23-2009	01	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FILED NOTICE OF APPEARANCE FILED THIS DATE BY ATTORNEY ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT.		\$0.00		
02-23-2009	02	MOTION BY DEFENDANT TO DISMISS DEFENDANT FILED MOTION TO DISMISS.		\$0.00		
02-24-2009	01	TELEPHONE CALL JUDGE SPOKE WITH CITY ATTY. MR. ADVISED THAT HE WOULD TRANSFER THE CASE TO THE REGIONAL JUSTICE COURT. JUDGE ORDERED TO VACATE THE BENCH TRIAL SET FOR 2-25-09. CLERK CALLED ALL OF THE WITNESSES WHO WERE SUBPOENAED.		\$0.00		

CASE: J- 0403-TR- 2009000895 PARTY: D - 001  
ST OF AZ VS  
JUDGE: PN HON. STATUS: OA ORAL ARGUMENTS  
FILING DATE: 02-25-2009 CASE TYPE: TR4 LJ-CRIM TR-DUI  
EVENT CATEGORY: Z

DATE	SEQ	EVENT	RECEIPT #	AMOUNT	Number of Hours Sentenced	RESULT
02-24-2009	02	MINUTE ENTRY/OTHER JUDGE ISSUED A MINUTE ENTRY TO TRANSFER THIS CASE TO THE REGIONAL JUSTICE COURT DUE TO CONFLICT. CITY ATTY. CONTACTED DEPUTY COUNTY ATTY AND COUNTY ATTY. AND AUTHORIZED THE TRANSFER.		\$0.00		
						<i>What conflict - A never knew.</i>
02-24-2009	03	TRANSFERRED OUT CASE TRANSFERRED TO THE REGIONAL JUSTICE COURT.		\$0.00		
02-25-2009	01	CAL: BENCH TRIAL BENCH TRIAL SET FOR 3:30 P.M.		\$0.00		
03-03-2009	01	COMP TRANSFER-IN UNIFORM CITAT CASE TRAFSFERRED IN FROM CITY COURT. # CITATION POLICE DEPARTMENT. DATE OF VIOLATION IS 8-9-08 CHARGES: 28-1381A1 DUI IMPAIRED 28-1381A3 DUI DRUGS 28-693 RECKLESS DRIVING 4-251A1 OPEN CONTAINER 148-98.5 GLASS CONTAINER IN PARK		\$0.00		
03-03-2009	02	REVIEW/STATUS HELD JUDGE REVIEWED THE CASE. ORAL ARGUMENTS TO BE SET.		\$0.00		
03-03-2009	03	MINUTE ENTRY/OTHER JUDGE ISSUED A MINUTE ENTRY: CASE TO BE ACCEPTED FROM THE MAGISTRATE COURT. THE COURT NOTES THAT THE DEFENDANT HAS HAD AN INITIAL APPEARANCE AND ARRAIGNMENT, AND HAS ENTERED A PLEA OF NOT GUILTY. THE DEFENDANT IS REPRESENTED BY THE DEFENDANT HAS FILED A MOTION TO DISMISS. IT IS ORDERED THAT ORAL ARGUMENTS BE SET FOR MARCH 19, 2009 AT 11:30 A.M. COPIES TO DEF COUNSEL/CA/FILE.		\$0.00		
03-19-2009	01	CAL: ORAL ARGUMENTS ORAL ARGUMENTS SET FOR 11:30 A.M.		\$0.00		