

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-208

---

Complainant: No. 1350100307A

Judge: No. 1350100307B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve legal and procedural matters outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The commission is not a court and cannot review evidence to determine whether or not a judge's decision is correct. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 23, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 23, 2009.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

CONFIDENTIAL  
State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

CJC 09-208

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 8-5-09

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

(1) Personally I could not witness any meetings phone conversations or other personal communications with Joseph Arpaio Sheriff, or Andrew P. Thomas who with motive execute major influence against a prejudiced non cooperative party intending litigation that may result in there prosecution. And such is the case in this Criminal Prosecution, in CR-2008- \_\_\_\_\_ that existed as retaliation in the filing of CV-08- \_\_\_\_\_ U.S. Dist. Court in Phoenix, where to date due to secrete bribes or otherwise fear and influence, I have been denied a hearing or trial on the merit of this cause that has resulted in a writ for original jurisdiction, a motion for stay with instructions for application to a particular justice in all pending causes involving this ongoing secrete crime. In CV-08- \_\_\_\_\_, And CV-09- \_\_\_\_\_ TRI / CV-09- \_\_\_\_\_; CV-09- \_\_\_\_\_ Filed in U.S. Dist. Court And ER-08- \_\_\_\_\_ in superior court, that has been sent to the U.S. Court of Appeals 9th Circuit in 09- \_\_\_\_\_; 09- \_\_\_\_\_; 09- \_\_\_\_\_; And 09- \_\_\_\_\_ now pending all arising from the same chain of events, selectively non of them have been heard before an non prejudiced judge made so by the secrete crime. The intent is to keep me out of court and keep this crime secrete. (2) To my understanding in Arizona voters the people are or should be the power, in enforcing laws governing people and the acts public servants and officials, the public have a right to know what is going on in secrete in this case, and I have a right to be publicly heard before (A) a jury of my peers, (B) publicly period by media or what ever means trial in state, federal, court where the power to public servants to be part to a secrete conspiracy resulting in my harm and intended death can be heard and redoned. And that is what the public servants and officials involved in this conspiracy do not want. Rather, they want to prevent that from occurring and have done so for over 3 years now in the instant matter, by now in this state of Arizona using an illegal raised competency evaluation and threatening the same use again. (continued)

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

(3). After the entry Date was made. - I being copied and mailed to the U.S. Supreme Court by Dr-  
MAYE LEGAL SERVICES. Submitted 8-4-04 for copies postage was made by Judge JONES OF  
SUPERIOR COURT No. DIVISION 1 with Name of Judge Since D-4-09 DATE I WAS ALLEGED SELF  
REPRESENTATION WITH CONSIDERED INTEREST

(4). I FILED A MOTION IN CONNECTION TO THAT ORDER DATED \_\_\_\_\_ TO THE PROSECUTOR  
JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ THE MOTION WAS IN CHARGE OF JUDGE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CON-  
SPIRACY JUDGE DENIED ME TO A COURT OF APPEALS COURT REPORTER OF A  
UNCONTESTED FROM STATE FACT OF CONSPIRACY THE CONSPIRACY WAS WITH THE MOTION THAT  
THE COURT OF APPEALS AS FROM THE ABOVE RESULT. NO MATTER WHAT COURT ORDER OR  
IS A COURT ORDER AS TO AS A DEFENSE AGAINST MY ALLEGATIONS.

(5). I FILED APPROXIMATELY TWENTY TWO SUBPOENAS IN WHICH IS REFERRED IN THE COURT OF APPEALS  
CLERK'S OFFICE. THESE SUBPOENAS WERE COURT ORDERED BY JUDGE JONES  
ON 8-2-04 IN CONNECTION WITH A CONCERN OF A SERIES OF CONSPIRACY  
FROM POSSIBLE TORTURE EFFECTING THE LIVES OF OTHER POTENTIAL VICTIMS,  
THE COURT ORDERED AS A RESULT OF THE INTENT OF THE COURT  
HARM OR DEATH U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ APR 2008 SUPERIOR COURT STATE  
COURT OF APPEALS AND STATE SUPREME COURT CLERK'S OFFICE THAT I HAVE THE RIGHT  
BEFORE A COURT OF APPEALS TO AS MY OWN DEFENSE CONFRONT THE STATE CONSPIRACY  
IN SOME REGARD EACH AND EVERY ONE OF THESE WITNESSES HAD MATERIAL TESTIMONY.

(6). THIS WAS THE MOTIVE OF THE ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR CHANGE OF JUDGE TO  
BE HEARD BY THE PROSECUTOR AND CONSPIRACY COURT EXPERT NO FACT AND MATERIAL  
REASON FOR DENIAL OF THE LAW IN MY COURT STATE FOR MY OWN  
DEFENSE AND CONFRONT REGARDLESS OF THE PUBLIC INTEREST OR MY RIGHT TO DEFEND  
IN MY OWN DEFENSE ON THE MOTION I ASK A NON PROSECUTOR COURT FOR MY  
DEFENSE AND CONFRONT REGARDLESS AND UNTIL THE CONSPIRACY IS EXPOSED AND  
NOT HEARD. THE DATE OF THIS DENIAL FROM MY COURT STATE IS \_\_\_\_\_

(7). Several Retaliation incidents occurred in my protection for the Superior Court  
APPEALS FOR PRETRIAL PROSECUTOR THE DATES ON MY SUBPOENAS WERE 8-26-04  
AND 8-2-00, THIS HEARING WAS BEING SCHEDULED TO PRESENT ALL DEFENSE WITNESSES  
FROM BEING PRESENT TO GIVE MATERIAL EVIDENCE AND TESTIMONY IN CONNECTION TO THIS  
CONSPIRACY. IN CONNECTION TO THE INTENT AND USE OF THE CONSPIRACY  
SINCE JONES ALSO WORKS UNDER THE SERVICE, WHO HAS SECURED  
DOCUMENTS WITH COPIES OF INFORMATION. ONE OF THE MOTIONS DENIED WITH OUT  
HARD EVIDENCE OR HEARING - OF WRITERS OPINION BY JUDGE JONES WAS, FOR AN  
INSTRUCTION AND PROTECT FOR WITNESS PROSECUTOR AND INTERFERE WITH THE  
JURY OF THE CONSPIRACY - USING THE LAW

(8). THIS JUNE 31, 2004 HEARING WAS ORDERED TO PREVENT THE CONSPIRACY FROM  
BEING REVEALED BY USING THE COURT ROOM TO MAKE MY DEFENSE CONFRONT  
MY CHARACTER ON A CONSPIRACY REGARDING ISSUE THAT WAS NEVER  
ADDRESSED. TWO MOTIONS WERE SUBMITTED IN CONNECTION TO THE COURT CONFRONT  
A MOTION AGAINST THE CONSPIRACY OF A CONSPIRACY WITH A MOTION OF JURY  
AND A MOTION FOR REPEAL OF THIS CONSPIRACY PROSECUTOR. NEITHER OF THESE  
MOTIONS RECEIVED A FAIR HEARING IN ANY COURT STATE OR FEDERAL.

- (9). In Connection to my attempt at being heard, I submitted on MAY 25, 2009 both A Interlocutory Appeal and Habeas Corpus to ARIZONA'S Highest State Court on Direct Appeal, Received March 28, 2009 Describing in detail The use of the ARIZONA state Judicial system To his detriment of Confiance & The WEBERS CORPUS with exhibits Describing secret fraud And deception tactics in An illegal computer evaluation, that was never address in It was to be a and Re-sentences and Re-sentenced again by The Clerk of Supreme Court. I want you at this time those letters / Ref are W.D. No. 1077000 # A -
- (10). On 6-10-09, In Connection to a Pending Federal Habeas Petition by Julie Donn USA, Att. General's Panel LITIGANT Clerk of Court of Appeals Division one which had been filed For Release to my sister Interlocutory Appeal, Restored And I requested by A new Intent to be heard With us instructed a writ for facial Action and exhibits A-F of which were Judge needs a minute order. See (Appendix # B) All these Documents were Filed in Superior Court and off a Judge who was For Judge who should have lesser & had an obligation to protect the interest of A Defendant and not Prejudicially have attorney an oral Argument or Emergency Motion filed would have been a Just Procedure. (Appendix # E)
- (11). Instead on 7-31-09 Used his seat to conduct A hearing intending to not Allow A Fair and impartial hearing or Presentation, as I was held in Full Restraint & then Denied Motion to Dismiss An Unlawful Untrustworthy Adversely Counsel that never had any intent to support me as he was Allowed by the Court to Undermine my abstract Justice As did the 1st Circuit Court of Appeals, Then Mocked me during a Refuse to Permit And Attorneys who were Based on Conspired Deceptions and a forged Evaluation that presently are the result of An Appeal on 9th Circuit, And now Pending Direct Appeal to The U.S. Supreme Court From 11th Circuit 4474 Ave. Jail.
- (12). These statements in open court in total disregard of Defences notice of Defense that should be on file InCR 2008-1675-001 DTR when he mocked expert witnesses in defense, In open court made no effort to Allow me access to Any legal documents that were on the court in which proper is my own defense. After I objected before everyone to Prejudice And not being able to look at to what material at the presentation, ordered me to state with witness is refer to exact testimony which I testified it was the exact testimony of Defense, He said are you serious concerning expert witnesses or conspiracy (URR) Flynt and witness who have not only food expert and forensic expert on the of poisoned food as this fact. Made no mention of out country expert on Terrorism of the FBI, CIA, FBI, or the FBI or conspiracy, Instead used this as a cause to order me that I have to be questioned.
- (13). Did his best to prevent the Record to reflect this hearing no other Court's Record on the matter, and with direct commands to continue Court's matter where my attorney is present. This is a tactic of Litigation and the ordered everyone to get there before the court which will be both very people I was subjected to this due to the conspiracy, To note this he was substituting my Defense to a trial on another Prejudicial Case Paraphrasing evaluation where I would be forced to accept representation by an untrustworthy lawyer to prevent my being heard in my own defense or protecting my own Defense This is Wrong.



SCOPE OF AUTHORITY

This is not a Complaint AGAINST OR A JUDGES decision.

- 1. It is WILLFUL MISCONDUCT FOR A JUDGE TO WILLFULLY CARRY OUT AN AGREEMENT MADE AND DECIDED PRIOR TO HIS BEING SEATED KNOWING THE ACT WAS IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW.

See HOFFMAN V. HILDEN, (9th Cir. 1959) 268 F.2d 280, where a complaint alleging that County Health Officer and his Deputy willfully failed to act in good faith in forcibly taking Plaintiff to a place of detention rather than bringing him before Circuit Court pursuant to an order of such Court and that they willfully refused to advise Court that they had ignored court process and that they made a false return of process, stating they had followed order of Court and that they had been willfully suppressing facts concerning Plaintiff's illegal detention, etc. . . .

- 2. This willful act to suppress facts of illegal conduct using a illegal raised issue competency to prevent trial and witness in open public court and rebelling this planned conspiracy to suppress evidence, denial of all due procedure according to R.S. Rules of Crim. Procedure from arrest to the fraudulently raised issue of insanity as a defense. To the forced evaluation in bliss of these violations of the law. To the omission of an onerous hearing to address all these illegal acts, to immediately release him knowing this furnished the crime by granting to justice, these rebels and others all the same willful offender to court of the same as agreement with incompetent's as a illegal act furnishing bond and information.

2. Willful and persistent failure to perform legal law abiding good faith duties. When all motions and defense notice were discovered, when willful forcing addition of evidence and a prejudicial trial from the same office of defendant willfully denying defendant the opportunity to present these facts because his rights were intentionally to cover up a crime.

- 3. This willful agreement is a violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

- 4. And such secret acts carried out and intended to be kept under a rug and not revealed and brought to the public forum breaches the judicial oath.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signed: \_\_\_\_\_  
 State of Michigan, \_\_\_\_\_  
 County of \_\_\_\_\_

... of ...

I do hereby certify that ...

Two ...  
by ...  
Shift, with ...  
Commission on Judicial Conduct ...

...