

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 09-235

---

Complainant: No. 1198110102A

Judge: No. 1198110102B

---

**ORDER**

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The issues raised involve legal and procedural matters outside the jurisdiction of the commission. The commission is not a court and cannot review a judge's decisions. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: December 18, 2009.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on December 18, 2009.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

State of Arizona  
Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CJC 09-235

### COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 8/27/2009

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

I, \_\_\_\_\_ have always had the gut feeling that my Rights were being violated. I, with Good Cause did not stay to hear a verdict from a jury that heard my case in an unfair trial. I knew then and I most deffenerately know now the charges agist me were fake-tisk's. I alway from day one knew my permit to drive was valid in coming back from Puyson Court. I now know my licence was re activated automatically do to \_\_\_\_\_ Court dismissing Court 2 of 108 or more, as that is what my restriction was based on. So I had a licence to drive but was arrested for driving on a suspended licence do to missunder standing of the Office if going to Court was in coilation with permit. The case # 2007 \_\_\_\_\_ should have been dismissed from the start but the proccienter, \_\_\_\_\_ entered a nother persons suspension that is Exhibit G for you to see, that the Jury only heard thwew states witness. Mr. \_\_\_\_\_ also did not make Disclosure of the Arresting, DRE and Tel-Fax search warrant for my Blood audio CD'S, to give me a fair opprotunity for my defence. The disclosure was not made for almost two Years later after finale discloser was to be made. Now the holding in Supreme Court of the United States, Arizona v. Gant

Cite as 556 U.S. — (2009). has come into  
 affect, that would give me even more to  
 hold that my rights have been violated and  
 my new lawyer has  
 refused to do any suppression do to the  
 Judge, on May 19, 2006 in  
 proceeding stated in her own unneutral personal  
 thought, (with no objection by the  
 prosecutor first not to have any suppression  
 hearings,) and with no evidence of the  
 facts of understanding said, I do not believe  
 it to be appropriate to have any suppression or  
 suppression hearing as Mr. left before  
 the verdict came back; She also basically  
 said the same on the next proceeding on  
 June 23, 2009, that was made part of  
 the record on both dates. An intitutional, with pre-  
 determination to cause a devastating disadvantage  
 to deviate away from the Constitutional Due  
 process right to liberty that has caused a  
 tremendous amount of harm to my whole  
 family. The Lawyer, said he  
 is friends with Judge, and  
 when he filed a USles Motion to dismiss (as not  
 having any authority to be heard) said, the Judge  
 like my motion I filed, said a day before the

the hearing of it.

Please see additional attached Affidavit of

Unfortunately now the Jail has  
take grounds to deprive me of mail that  
has sent to me, that has

the word Legal Documents on the bottom of  
the envelop, even thoce they have always  
let them come in as they still open

to read what is on them. I have nine letter  
envelops in front of me right now that date  
from August 7, 2009 to August 20, 2009, the  
county knows what I am doing in my case  
and is trying to stop me. My now ex-lawyer  
as of August 20<sup>th</sup>, 2009, has

told me he is friends with the main prosecutor  
and he had worked under me in

County as I was the prosecutor there for 23  
years, I could get him to have a talk with

and just go over his head. The  
realy point is they are all friends and have  
eachothers backs with all the illegoten actions

from them. Now as my mail was withheld on  
or about August 20<sup>th</sup> through 26<sup>th</sup> You will not  
have all the Exhibets to my Affidavit of

that shows all the violations  
that have and are continuing to take place.